Quantum and Classical Lyapunov Exponents in Atom-Field Interaction Systems

Jorge Chávez-Carlos,¹ B. López-del-Carpio,¹ Miguel A. Bastarrachea-Magnani,²

Pavel Stránský,³ Sergio Lerma-Hernández,⁴ Lea F. Santos,⁵ and Jorge G. Hirsch¹

¹Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-543, C.P. 04510 Cd. Mx., México

Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, Freiburg, Germany, D-79104.

³Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, Prague 180 00, Czech Republic

⁴Facultad de Física, Universidad Veracruzana, Circuito Aguirre Beltrán s/n, C.P. 91000 Xalapa, Mexico

⁵Department of Physics, Yeshiva University, New York, New York 10016, USA.

The exponential growth of the out-of-time-ordered correlator (OTOC) has been proposed as a quantum signature of classical chaos. The growth rate is expected to coincide with the classical Lyapunov exponent. This quantum-classical correspondence has been corroborated for the kicked rotor and the stadium billiard, which are one-body chaotic systems. The conjecture has not yet been validated for realistic systems with interactions. We make progress in this direction by studying the OTOC in the Dicke model, where two-level atoms cooperatively interact with a quantized radiation field. For parameters where the model is chaotic in the classical limit, the OTOC increases exponentially in time with a rate that closely follows the classical Lyapunov exponent.

Quantum chaos tries to bridge quantum and classical mechanics. The search for quantum signatures of classical chaos has ranged from level statistics [1, 2] and the structure of the eigenstates [3, 4] to the exponential increase of complexity [5, 6] and the exponential decay of the overlap of two wave packets [7–9]. Recently, the pursuit of exponential instabilities in the quantum domain has been revived by the conjecture of a bound on the rate growth of the out-of-time-ordered correlator (OTOC) [10, 11]. First introduced in the context of superconductivity [12], the OTOC is now presented as a measure of quantum chaos, with its growth rate being associated with the classical Lyapunov exponent. The OTOC is not only a theoretical quantity, but has also been measured experimentally via nuclear magnetic resonance techniques [13–15].

The correspondence between the OTOC growth rate and the classical Lyapunov exponent has been explicitly shown in two cases of one-body chaotic systems, the kicked-rotor [16] and, after a first unsuccessful attempt [17], the stadium billiard [18]. For interacting many-body systems, while exponential behaviors for the OTOC have been found for the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model [10, 19] and for the Bose-Hubbard model [20, 21], a direct demonstration of the quantumclassical correspondence has not yet been made. Studies in this direction include [6, 22–24] and [25].

Here, we investigate the OTOC for the Dicke model [26]. Comparing with one-body systems, the Dicke model is a step up toward an explicit quantum-classical correspondence for interacting many-body systems, since it contains N atoms interacting with a quantized field. It was originally proposed to explain the collective phenomenon of superradiance: the field mediates interatomic interactions, which causes the atoms to act collectively [26, 27]. Superradiance has been experimentally studied with ultracold atoms in optical cavities [28–33]. The Dicke Hamiltonian has also found applications beyond superradiance in various different fields. It has been employed, for instance, in studies of ground-state and excited-state quantum phase transitions [27, 34–38], entanglement creation [39], nonequilibrium dynamics [40–43],

quantum chaos [44-47], and monodromy [48, 49]

In the classical limit, the Dicke model presents regular and chaotic regions depending on the Hamiltonian parameters and excitation energies [47]. This allows us to benchmark the OTOC growth against the presence and absence of chaos. The results in the chaotic region display three different temporal behaviors: a sinusoidal evolution at short times, followed by an exponential growth, that holds up to the saturation of the dynamics. Our approach, based on the use of an efficient basis for the convergence of the eigenstates, enables the treatment of systems that are large enough to reveal the exponential part of the dynamics. We find that the exponential growth rate is in close agreement with the classical Lyapunov exponent.

Quantum and Classical Hamiltonian.– The Dicke model has N two-level atoms of level spacing ω_0 coupled with a single mode of a quantized radiation field of frequency ω . The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}_D = \frac{\omega}{2}(\hat{p}^2 + \hat{q}^2) + \omega_0 \hat{J}_z + 2\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{j}}\hat{J}_x\,\hat{q} - \frac{\omega}{2},\qquad(1)$$

where $\hbar = 1$; $\hat{q} = (\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{p} = i(\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \hat{a})/\sqrt{2}$ are the quadratures of the bosonic field and $\hat{a}(\hat{a}^{\dagger})$ is the annihilation (creation) operator; the collective atomic pseudo-spin operators, $\hat{J}_{x,y,z} = (1/2) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_{x,y,z}^{(n)}$, are the sums of the Pauli matrices for each atom n; γ is the atom-field interaction strength; and j(j+1) is the eigenvalue of the total spin operator $\hat{J}^2 = \hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2$. The critical point $\gamma_c = \sqrt{\omega\omega_0}/2$ marks the transition from a normal phase ($\gamma < \gamma_c$) to a superradiant phase ($\gamma > \gamma_c$). We set $\omega = \omega_0 = 1$ in the illustrations below and work with the symmetric atomic subspace (j = N/2), where the ground state lies. The models has two degrees of freedom.

The classical Hamiltonian is built by employing Bloch coherent states and Glauber coherent states [47, 50, 51]. The first are given by $|z\rangle = (1+|z|^2)^{-j} e^{z\hat{J}_+}|j,-j\rangle$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|j,-j\rangle$ is the ground state for the atoms, and the Glauber coherent states are $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}e^{\alpha \hat{a}^{\dagger}}|0\rangle$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and

²Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universitat Freiburg,

 $|0\rangle$ in the photon vacuum. The canonical variables (p,q) and (j_z,ϕ) are given in terms of the coherent state parameters $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{j}{2}}(q+ip)$ and $z = \sqrt{\frac{1+j_z}{1-j_z}}e^{-i\phi}$, respectively. Deriving the classical Hamiltonian is basically equivalent to replacing the operators with the canonical variables (q,p) and (j_z,ϕ) as $\hat{q} \to \sqrt{j}q$, $\hat{p} \to \sqrt{j}p$, $\hat{J}_z \to jj_z$, $\hat{J}_x \to j\sqrt{1-j_z^2}\cos\phi$. It reads

$$H_D^{cl} = j\frac{\omega}{2} \left(p^2 + q^2 \right) + j\omega_0 j_z + 2j\gamma\sqrt{1 - j_z^2} q \,\cos\phi. \tag{2}$$

Since the classical limit is reached for $j \to \infty$, the effective Planck constant is $\hbar_{eff} = 1/j$.

We denote the energy per particle as $\epsilon = H_D^{cl}/j$, which is independent of j. Since the number of bosons in the field is unlimited, the range of values of ϵ is only limited from below. The ground state energy is given by $\epsilon_0(\gamma) = -\omega_0$ for $\gamma \le \gamma_c$ and by $\epsilon_0(\gamma) = -\frac{\omega_0}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_c^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_c^2}\right)$ for $\gamma > \gamma_c$.

With the classical Hamiltonian, we solve the Hamilton equations of motion and obtain a map of the degree of chaoticity of the system as a function of the energy ϵ and the interaction strength γ , as shown in Fig. 1. The task of drawing the map is quite demanding. For each value of ϵ and γ , we consider a large sample of initial conditions distributed homogeneously in the energy shell. The largest Lyapunov exponent λ_{cl} is evaluated for each initial condition. If $\lambda_{cl} > 0$, the initial condition is chaotic and for $\lambda_{cl} = 0$, the initial condition is regular. The percentage of chaos is defined as the ratio of the number of chaotic initial conditions over the total number of initial conditions in the sample. This percentage is shown in Fig. 1 with a color gradient: dark indicates that most initial conditions are regular and light indicates that most are chaotic. (Notice that one should look only at the results above the thick solid line that marks the ground state.) Regularity predominates for $\gamma/\gamma_c < 0.6$, while for $\gamma/\gamma_c > 0.6$, most regular trajectories have low energies and large energies are associated with chaos. This map guides our analysis of the OTOC below.



Figure 1. Percentage of chaos over energy shells as a function of energy and coupling strength. The thick solid line follows the ground state energy and the diamond marks the critical point. The vertical dotted line indicates the coupling $\gamma = 2\gamma_c$ and the circle marks the energy chosen for the studies below.

Method.– The OTOC quantifies the degree of noncommutativity in time between two Hermitian operators with small or null commutator at time t = 0. In terms of position and momentum, it is written as

$$C_{n}^{qp}(t) = -\langle \Psi_{n} | [q(t), p(0)]^{2} | \Psi_{n} \rangle,$$
(3)

where $|\Psi_n\rangle$ and E_n are the eigenstates and eigenvalues of \hat{H}_D . In Ref. [17], $C_n^{qp}(t)$ is called microcanonical OTOC. In the semiclassical limit, substituting the commutator by the Poisson bracket, one gets for a classically chaotic system, $\{q(t), p(0)\} = \partial q(t) / \partial q(0) \sim e^{\lambda_{cl} t}$, where λ_{cl} is the classical Lyapunov exponent. This suggests the connection between the exponential growth rate Λ_Q of the OTOC and λ_{cl} , and justifies referring to Λ_Q as the quantum Lyapunov exponent.

Using the temporal evolution of the operator $\hat{q}(t) = e^{iHt}\hat{q}e^{-iHt}$, Eq. (3) can be expressed as [17]

$$C_{n}^{qp}(t) = \sum_{l} b_{nl}(t) b_{nl}^{*}(t), \qquad (4)$$

where the matrix elements

$$b_{nl}(t) = -i \langle \Psi_n | [\hat{q}(t), \hat{p}(0)] | \Psi_l \rangle,$$

= $-i \sum_k (e^{i\Omega_{nk}t} q_{nk} p_{kl} - e^{i\Omega_{kl}t} p_{nk} q_{kl}),$

with $q_{nk} = \langle \Psi_n | \hat{q} | \Psi_k \rangle$, $p_{nk} = \langle \Psi_n | \hat{p} | \Psi_k \rangle$, and $\Omega_{nk} = E_n - E_k$. Since the Dicke Hamiltonian is of the form $\hat{H}_D = \omega \hat{p}^2/2 + V(\hat{q})$ and $[\hat{H}_D, \hat{q}] = -i\omega \hat{p}$,

$$b_{nl}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k} q_{nk} q_{kl} (\Omega_{kl} e^{i\Omega_{nk}t} - \Omega_{nk} e^{i\Omega_{kl}t}), \quad (5)$$

which simplifies the calculations. The OTOC is obtained by evaluating numerically only the matrix elements of \hat{q} in the energy eigenbasis. For this, instead of employing the usual photon number (Fock) basis, we resort to an efficient basis that guarantees convergence of the eigenvalues and wave functions for a broad part of the spectrum (see [52]).

Quantum Lyapunov Exponent.– In this Letter, we concentrate our analysis on chaotic eigenstates. They are chosen along the vertical line in Fig. 1, where the coupling parameter is strong, $\gamma = 2\gamma_c$. This line exhibits regular and chaotic regions. From the ground state $\epsilon_0 = -2.125$ to $\epsilon \approx -1.6$, the dynamics is regular. From $\epsilon \approx 1.6$ to $\epsilon \approx -1.2$, regular and chaotic trajectories coexist. For larger energies, $\epsilon > -1.2$, chaos cover almost the whole energy shell. We select a group of sixty eigenstates in the chaotic energy region with $E_n/(j\omega_0) \in (-1.11, -1.09)$. They are indicated with a circle in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 2 (a), we show that even for a single representative eigenstate, the behavior of the OTOC is clearly exponential from $t \gtrsim \pi/\omega_0$ up to the saturation of the dynamics. The growth rate $\Lambda_Q = 0.1389$ is obtained by fitting the curve with a straight line indicated with stars in the figure.

The exponential behavior is robust with respect to two different probes:

(i) It holds when we use the commutator for the operator \hat{q} at different times, $C_n^{qq}(t) = -\langle \Psi_n | [q(t), q(0)]^2 | \Psi_n \rangle$, as also shown in Fig. 2 (a). The associated fit, indicated with circles, provides $\Lambda_Q = 0.1394$. Both exponential fits lead essentially to the same quantum Lyapunov exponents.

(ii) The exponential growth rates are very similar for the sixty different states selected in the chaotic region.



Figure 2. Panel (a): Exponential growth of the OTOC for an eigenstate with $E_n/(j\omega_0) \approx -1.1$; numerical results (solid line), fit for $C_n^{qp}(t)$ (circles) and for $C_n^{qq}(t)$ (stars); saturation times (square and triangle). Panel (b): Log-log plot for the evolution of the OTOC and saturation value (dotted lines). Inset: short time behavior compared with $\sin^2(t)$ and $\cos^2(t)$ (dotted lines). We used j = 100, n = 1625.

The log-log plot in Fig. 2 (b) makes evident the appearance of different behaviors at different time scales. For $t < \pi/\omega_0$, the dynamics of $C_n^{qp}(t)$ [similarly for $C_n^{qq}(t)$] is controlled by the diagonal matrix elements in Eq. (5), $b_{nn}(t) = (2/\omega) \sum_k q_{kn}^2 \Omega_{kn} \cos(\Omega_{kn}t)$, with few states contributing significantly to the sum, all with energy differences $\Omega \approx 1.0$. The short-time evolution is therefore approximately described by the square of a cosine function [sine for $C_n^{qq}(t)$]. The two sinusoidal curves are shown with dotted lines in the inset of Fig. 2 (b).

At long times, the quantum dynamics saturates to the infinite-time average,

$$\overline{C_n^{pq}} = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{k,l} q_{nk}^2 q_{kl}^2 \left(\Omega_{kl}^2 + \Omega_{nk}^2 \right), \tag{6}$$

which is obtained from Eqs. (3) and (5) using that

 $\overline{\exp[i(\Omega_{ij} - \Omega_{kl})t]} = 0$ for $\Omega_{ij} \neq \Omega_{kl}$. $\overline{C_n^{pq}}$ and $\overline{C_n^{qq}}$ are shown in Fig. 2 (b) with dotted lines. These averages are related with the square of the size of the available phase space [17]. For the Dicke Hamiltonian, it scales with j^2 and with the number of bosons in the system, which grows with the excitation energy.

After the exponential growth, the OTOC fluctuates around its asymptotic value, as seen in Fig. 2 (b), with a standard deviation σ . We define the saturation time t_S as the time when the OTOC reaches for the first time the value $\overline{C_n^{pq}} - \sigma$. The values of t_S for $C_n^{qp}(t)$ and $C_n^{qq}(t)$ are marked in Fig. 2 (a) with a triangle and a square, respectively. The saturation time marks the point beyond which quantum effects are strong and the quantum-classical correspondence no longer holds, therefore the association between t_S and the Ehrenfest time. The saturation of the dynamics for finite quantum systems is in contrast to what one finds for classical systems, where the spectrum is continuous. As j increases and the system approaches the classical limit, $\overline{C_n^{pq}}$ grows and t_S increases with it.



Figure 3. Panels (a), (b) and (c): Poincaré surface of section of the Husimi functions for three eigenstates with energies close to $\epsilon/\omega_0 \approx -1.1$ projected on the plane (j_z, ϕ) for p = 0. Panel (d): map of chaos over the same Poincaré surface in terms of the classical Lyapunov exponents.

Quantum-classical correspondence.— To associate a classical Lyapunov exponent to each particular Hamiltonian eigenstate, we employ the Husimi functions, which are the square of the overlaps of the eigenstate with the coherent states used to build the classical description. They are defined as

$$Q_k(q, p, j_z, \phi) = |\langle q, p, j_z, \phi | \Psi_k \rangle|^2.$$
(7)

The subtleties of the evaluation of the Husimi functions, in connection with the efficient coherent basis used to get the eigenstates [52], are detailed in Ref. [46].

The Poincaré surface of section of the Husimi functions for three eigenstates with energies close to $\epsilon/\omega_0 \approx -1.1$ are shown in Fig. 3 (a,b,c), projected on the plane (j_z, ϕ) for p = 0. The bright structures indicate large overlaps. For comparison, we also show in Fig. 3 (d) the classical map of chaos for the same energy and plane. The color code represents the values of the classical Lyapunov exponent for each initial point in the phase space.

With the Husimi function, we compute the average Lyapunov exponent for the corresponding eigenstate. To this end, we assign weights W_k , given by the discretized and normalized Husimi function, to each point k in the phase space, where k = 1, ..., M and M is the number of points in the phase space over the plane (j_z, ϕ) with p = 0. The weighted average is

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\langle \ln \rangle} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} W_k \lambda_k = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{M} W_k \ln(e^{\lambda_k t}).$$
(8)

The purpose of writing the last term above is to emphasize that $\lambda_{\langle \ln \rangle}$ is the average of logarithms, while the maximum value λ_{max} of the Lyapunov exponent over the region where the Husimi function is not null is the logarithm of the average,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\ln\langle \cdot\rangle} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \left[\sum_{k} W_k e^{\lambda_k t} \right]$$

$$= \lambda_{max} + \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \left[\sum_{k} W_k e^{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{max})t} \right] \to \lambda_{max}.$$
(9)

In Fig. 4, we compare the quantum Lyapunov exponent Λ_Q (circles), the average classical Lyapunov exponent $\tilde{\lambda}_{\langle \ln \rangle}$ (squares), and the maximum Lyapunov exponent λ_{max} (stars). Obviously, $\lambda_{max} > \tilde{\lambda}_{\langle \ln \rangle}$ and both exponents are very stable for the sixty states. This is because the classical Lyapunov exponents λ_k in most of the Poincaré surface of section in Fig. 3 (d) have very similar values. The quantum exponent, on the other hand, fluctuates much more, due to the oscillations that modulate the exponential growth and finite size effects. Increasing the value of j would reduce this uncertainty.



Figure 4. Comparison between the exponential growth rate Λ_Q for $C_n^{qp}(t)$ (circles), the average classical Lyapunov exponent $\tilde{\lambda}_{\langle \ln \rangle}$ (squares), and the maximum Lyapunov exponent λ_{max} (stars) for sixty states of different energies around $\epsilon/\omega_0 \approx -1.1$.

In general, Λ_Q is larger than $\lambda_{\langle \ln \rangle}$, since the latter is the average of logarithms [see Eq. (8)], while the quantum Lyapunov exponent is obtained from the logarithm of the fit, as

discussed in [16]. For this reason, the quantum Lyapunov exponent is closer to λ_{max} . It is worth to mention that the average value of $\tilde{\lambda}_{\langle \ln \rangle}$ for the energy region in Fig. 4 is approximately 0.11, while for both Λ_Q and λ_{max} , we find 0.13.

Discussion.– We showed that for the Dicke model in the chaotic region, the OTOC grows exponentially fast in time with a rate comparable to the average classical Lyapunov exponent and very close to the maximum classical Lyapunov exponent averaged by the Husimi function. These results confirm that the quantum-classical correspondence established by means of the OTOC is not exclusive to one-body systems, but is valid also for interacting systems with more than one degree of freedom. This work provides a proof-of-principle and should motivate similar studies in other interacting systems.

We stress that to clearly identify the quantum exponential growth and extract its rate, we need to have access to large system sizes. This was possible here, because we resorted to an efficient basis to construct the eigenstates.

The instrument of our analysis was the microcanonical OTOC [Eq. (3)] corresponding to the eigenstate expectation value of the commutator of two operators. Its use in stadium billiards [17] prevented the observation of the quantum exponential growth, which was only possible with the introduction of Gaussian states [18]. In our case, however, the eigenstates were excellent probe states for revealing the OTOC exponential growth. This is a very important result for future studies of interacting systems, since the eigenstates are essential building blocks for thermal averages.

We conclude that it is possible to employ only quantum tools to characterize chaos in the phase space. Just as the Husimi distribution function can be associated with regular or chaotic sectors of the classical Poincaré surface of section, the exponential growth rate of the OTOC works as a quantum equivalent of the Lyapunov exponent.

We acknowledge financial support from Mexican CONA-CyT project CB2015-01/255702, DGAPA- UNAM project IN109417 and RedTC. MABM is a post-doctoral fellow of CONACyT. PS is supported by the Charles University Research Center UNCE/SCI/013 and is grateful to P. Cejnar for stimulating discussions. LFS is supported by the NSF grant No. DMR-1603418.

- F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- [2] H.-J. Stöckmann, *Quantum Chaos: An Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [3] B. V. Chirikov, Phys. Lett. A 108, 68 (1985).
- [4] V. Flambaum, A. Gribakina, G. Gribakin, and I. Ponomarev, Physica D 131, 205 (1999).
- [5] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4524 (1996).
- [6] F. Borgonovi, F. M. Izrailev, and L. F. Santos, "Exponentially fast dynamics in the Fock space of chaotic many-body systems," ArXiv:1802.08265.
- [7] R. A. Jalabert and H. M. Pastawski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2490 (2001).

- [8] F. M. Cucchietti, C. H. Lewenkopf, E. R. Mucciolo, H. M. Pastawski, and R. O. Vallejos, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046209 (2002).
- [9] T. Gorin, T. Prosen, T. H. Seligman, and M. Žnidarič, Phys. Rep. 435, 33 (2006).
- [10] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, Phys. Rev. D 94, 106002 (2016).
- [11] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 2016, 106 (2016).
- [12] A. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 55, 2262 (1969) ["Quasiclassical Method in the Theory of Superconductivity", Sov. Phys. JETP 28, 1200 (1969)].
- [13] M. Gärttner, J. G. Bohnet, A. Safavi-Naini, M. L. Wall, J. J. Bollinger, and A. M. Rey, Nat. Phys. 13, 781 (2017), article.
- [14] J. Li, R. Fan, H. Wang, B. Ye, B. Zeng, H. Zhai, X. Peng, and J. Du, Phys. Rev. X 7, 031011 (2017).
- [15] K. X. Wei, C. Ramanathan, and P. Cappellaro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 070501 (2018).
- [16] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017).
- [17] K. Hashimoto, K. Murata, and R. Yoshii, J. High Energy Phys. 2017, 138 (2017).
- [18] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, "Universal level statistics of the out-of-time-ordered operator," ArXiv:1801.10591.
- [19] D. Bagrets, A. Altland, and A. Kamenev, Nucl. Phys. B 921, 727 (2017).
- [20] A. Bohrdt, C. B. Mendl, M. Endres, and M. Knap, New J. Phys. 19, 063001 (2017).
- [21] H. Shen, P. Zhang, R. Fan, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. B 96, 054503 (2017).
- [22] M. Akila, D. Waltner, B. Gutkin, P. Braun, and T. Guhr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 164101 (2017).
- [23] E. Bianchi, L. Hackl, and N. Yokomizo, J. High Energy Phys. 2018, 25 (2018).
- [24] T. Scaffidi and E. Altman, "Semiclassical theory of many-body quantum chaos and its bound," ArXiv:1711.04768.
- [25] J. Rammensee, J. D. Urbina, and K. Richter, "Many-body quantum interference and the saturation of out-of-time-order correlators," ArXiv:1805.06377.
- [26] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 93, 99 (1954).
- [27] K. Hepp and E. H. Lieb, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **76**, 360 (1973);
 Y. K. Wang and F. T. Hioe, Phys. Rev. A **7**, 831 (1973);
 H. Carmichael, C. Gardiner, and D. Walls, Phys. Lett. A **46**, 47 (1973).
- [28] K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Nature (London) 464, 1301 (2010).
- [29] K. Baumann, R. Mottl, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 140402 (2011).
- [30] H. Ritsch, P. Domokos, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 553 (2013).
- [31] M. P. Baden, K. J. Arnold, A. L. Grimsmo, S. Parkins, and

M. D. Barrett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 020408 (2014).

- [32] J. Klinder, H. Keßler, M. R. Bakhtiari, M. Thorwart, and A. Hemmerich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 230403 (2015).
- [33] A. J. Kollár, A. T. Papageorge, V. D. Vaidya, Y. Guo, J. Keeling, and B. L. Lev, Nat. Comm. 8, 14386 (2017), article.
- [34] O. Castaños, R. López-Peña, J. G. Hirsch, and E. López-Moreno, Phys. Rev. B 72, 012406 (2005).
- [35] P. Pérez-Fernández, A. Relaño, J. M. Arias, P. Cejnar, J. Dukelsky, and J. E. García-Ramos, Phys. Rev. E 83, 046208 (2011).
- [36] T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. E 88, 032133 (2013).
- [37] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, S. Lerma-Hernández, and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. A 89, 032101 (2014).
- [38] J. Larson and E. K. Irish, J. Phys. A 50, 174002 (2017).
- [39] S. Schneider and G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A 65, 042107 (2002); N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 073602 (2004); M. Kloc, P. Stránský, and P. Cejnar, Ann. Phys. 382, 85 (2017).
- [40] P. Pérez-Fernández, P. Cejnar, J. M. Arias, J. Dukelsky, J. E. García-Ramos, and A. Relaño, Phys. Rev. A 83, 033802 (2011).
- [41] A. Altland and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 073601 (2012).
- [42] S. Lerma-Hernández, J. Chávez-Carlos, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, L. F. Santos, and J. G. Hirsch, "Survival probability of coherent states in regular regimes," ArXiv:1710.05937.
- [43] M. Kloc, P. Stránský, and P. Cejnar, Phys. Rev. A 98, 013836 (2018).
- [44] C. Lewenkopf, M. Nemes, V. Marvulle, M. Pato, and W. Wreszinski, Phys. Lett. A 155, 113 (1991).
- [45] C. Emary and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 044101 (2003);
 Phys. Rev. E 67, 066203 (2003).
- [46] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, S. Lerma-Hernández, and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. A 89, 032102 (2014); M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, B. L. del Carpio, S. Lerma-Hernández, and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Scripta 90, 068015 (2015); M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, B. López-del-Carpio, J. Chávez-Carlos, S. Lerma-Hernández, and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. E 93, 022215 (2016).
- [47] J. Chávez-Carlos, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, S. Lerma-Hernández, and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. E 94, 022209 (2016).
- [48] O. Babelon, L. Cantini, and B. Douçot, J. Stat. Mech. 2009, P07011 (2009).
- [49] M. Kloc, P. Stránský, and P. Cejnar, J. Phys. A 50, 315205 (2017).
- [50] A. D. Ribeiro, M. A. M. de Aguiar, and A. F. R. de Toledo Piza, J. Phys. A **39**, 3085 (2006).
- [51] L. Bakemeier, A. Alvermann, and H. Fehske, Phys. Rev. A 88, 043835 (2013).
- [52] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani and J. G. Hirsch, Phys. Scripta 2014, 014005 (2014); J. G. Hirsch and M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, 2014, 014018 (2014).