## Fungi bioluminescence revisited†

Dennis E. Desjardin,<sup>a</sup> Anderson G. Oliveira<sup>b</sup> and Cassius V. Stevani\*<sup>b</sup>

Received 3rd September 2007, Accepted 3rd January 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 24th January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b713328f

A review of the research conducted during the past 30 years on the distribution, taxonomy, phylogeny, ecology, physiology and bioluminescence mechanisms of luminescent fungi is presented. We recognize 64 species of bioluminescent fungi belonging to at least three distinct evolutionary lineages, termed Omphalotus, Armillaria and mycenoid. An accounting of their currently accepted names, distributions, citations reporting luminescence and whether their mycelium and/or basidiomes emit light are provided. We address the physiological and ecological aspects of fungal bioluminescence and provide data on the mechanisms responsible for bioluminescence in the fungi.

#### Introduction

Harvey's A History of Bioluminescence covers the physics, chemistry, and biology of diverse luminescence phenomena, including a detailed description of bioluminescence. Therein we learn that light emission by living organisms has been noticed and documented since ancient times by many philosophers and scientists. According to Harvey, Aristotle (384–322 BC) first described light emission from rotten wood and distinguished this living light from fire. Pliny the Elder (23–79) mentioned in his

Historia Naturalis that bioluminescent white fungi, sweet in taste and with pharmacological properties, could be found in France on decaying trees. Interestingly, G. E. Rumph (1637-1706), a Dutch physician, merchant and consul of Amboine (Moluccas, Indonesia), reported in his Herbarium Amboiense that natives were able to illuminate their path in the dark forest carrying bioluminescent fruiting bodies in their hands. Harvey pointed out uncommon uses of luminous mushrooms 200 hundred years later in Micronesia, where natives used them on their head as ornaments in ritual dances or crushed them on their face in order to scare their enemies. Curiously, these mushrooms were frequently destroyed as they were considered a bad omen.1

Despite Aristotle's and Pliny's writings on bioluminescent mushrooms and reports by botanists on the distribution of luminous mushrooms, early attention was focused mainly on

<sup>†</sup> This paper was published as part of the themed issue on bioluminescence.



Dennis E. Desjardin



Anderson G. Oliveira



Cassius V. Stevani

Dennis E. Desjardin studied with Prof. Harry D. Thiers at San Francisco State University (SFSU) from 1981–1985 (BSc 1983, MSc 1985) and completed his PhD in 1989 at University of Tennessee under supervision of Prof. Ron Petersen. He is Biology Professor at SFSU concentrating in the area of fungi taxonomy and being responsible for the identification of more than 150 new species worldwide. He is currently Director of the H. D. Thiers Herbarium at SFSU.

Anderson Garbuglio de Oliveira was born in Agudos, São Paulo. He received his BSc in Chemistry in 2004 from the Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina in Florianópolis (UFSC). In 2005 he moved to São Paulo to study the mechanism of fungi bioluminescence under the supervision of Prof. Cassius Vinicius Stevani at IQ-USP.

Cassius Vinicius Stevani received his BSc in Chemistry in 1992 from the Chemistry Institute of University of São Paulo (IQ-USP). He completed his PhD in Organic Chemistry in 1997 at IQ-USP under supervision of Prof. Josef W. Baader studying the mechanism involved in the chemiluminescent reaction of light-sticks. Between 1998–2000 he was a post-doctoral fellow working with Prof. Etelvino J. H. Bechara at IQ-USP. Currently, he lectures in Organic and Environmental Organic Chemistry at IQ-USP as Assistant Professor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>San Francisco State University, Dept. of Biology, 1600 Holloway Ave., San Francisco, CA 94132, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Instituto de Química da Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 26077, 05599-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. E-mail: stevani@iq.usp.br

the light emitted from rotten wood instead on the fungi. Light emission was only directly linked to the fungi in the first half of the nineteenth century. J. F. Heller (1813–1871), professor at Vienna University, was the first to correlate cause and effect attributing to fungi and bacteria the light exhibited by decaying wood and animals, respectively. A modern appraisal of this subject was provided by W. Pfeffer (1845-1920),1 who applied to bioluminescent fungi the terms luciferin and luciferase coined by Dubois for the thermo-stable (substrate) and labile (enzyme) factors.2,3

In this work we revisit, expand and update Wassink's 19784 review of the taxonomy and bioluminescence mechanisms in fungi. The number of reported bioluminescent fungus species in the world is reevaluated to include the many new species that we discovered since 2005. In addition, we discuss evolutionary aspects of bioluminescence in the fungi and raise hypotheses on the molecular mechanisms underlying the bioluminescent pathway based on the literature and our own observations. Our revision is focused on fungi bioluminescence defined as a chemical reaction that occurs in fungi leading to constant light emission with maximum intensity in the range 520-530 nm whose chemiexcitation step is catalyzed by an enzyme generally called luciferase. This phenomenon should not be confused with transient, low-level or ultraweak chemiluminescence that often increases in response to oxidative stress (e.g., elevated O<sub>2</sub> concentrations or introduction of ROS-generating compounds) wherein light may be emitted from singlet oxygen, triplet excited states, reactions of ONOO-, lipoxygenase activity, heme protein-peroxide reactions and Fenton chemistry.5 The latter phenomenon, reported from some yeasts and other fungi,4 will not be treated herein.

### Taxonomy and evolutionary aspects of fungi bioluminescence

In 1978,4 Wassink updated his review of luminescent fungi published in 1948<sup>6</sup> and that of Harvey, wherein he treated 42 taxa with verified or questionable luminescent properties. His review included a reevaluation of the taxonomic status, synonymy, and luminescent characteristics of all species reported before 1945 (19 species), and detailed accounts of newly described or rediscussed luminous species reported between 1946 and 1978 (23 species). In addition he provided lists of species of uncertain taxonomic position (16 epithets) and of doubtful bioluminescent capabilities (17 epithets). Many of the new accounts represented species described from Malaysia, Japan and the South Pacific, but unfortunately many of these epithets were invalidly published.<sup>8,9</sup> In addition, the protologues provided only limited morphological data making identification of subsequent collections difficult, and consequently, vouchered reports of most of the Asian species have not been published since.

Significant strides have been made in the past 30 years in augmenting our knowledge of the occurrence, distribution, ecology, taxonomy and phylogeny of luminescent fungi. For most fungi, populations are delimited into species based on a suite of shared morphological features, with distinctions between species often being subtle differences in the macro- and micromorphological characteristics of their sexual reproductive structures. Because macromorphological features are quite plastic and easily

influenced by environmental factors, comprehensive descriptions that include data on all cell and tissue types that comprise the reproductive structures of individuals from numerous populations are necessary for accurate species diagnoses. These micro-data are usually absent from most descriptions published prior to the 1950s. Consequently, many synonyms have been published and many of the species listed by Wassink4 remained poorly known until recently. Concerted efforts by a number of fungal taxonomists to study exsiccati (type or authentic specimens) and to recollect and redescribe luminescent species has lead to the clarification of many species concepts. 10-13 In addition, Desjardin and colleagues 14-16 have discovered a number of new species and new luminescence accounts of species from Brazil to add to the growing list of luminescent fungi (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Images of bioluminescent fungi taken in natural light (left) and in the dark (right). (A) Culture of Mycena aff. euspeirea on agar isolated from basidiomes collected in the El Verde Research Area, Puerto Rico. (B) Naturally bioluminescent twigs inhabited by mycelium of undetermined basidiomycetous fungi collected in Parque Estadual Turístico do Alto Ribeira (PETAR), São Paulo State, Brazil. (C) Filoboletus manipularis collected in Negeri Sembilan Prov., Malaysia. (D) Gerronema viridilucens collected in PETAR, São Paulo State, Brazil. (E) Mycena lucentipes collected in PETAR, São Paulo State, Brazil.

A biological species concept can be applied to species that cooperate *in vitro*. For many saprotrophic fungal species, single spores can be isolated, mated on select media, and their ability to dikaryotize and develop into new individuals can be evaluated. Hence, interbreedability and genetic exchange can be tested. An evolutionary species concept may be applied when molecular sequences datasets are generated and clades of terminal *taxa* in resultant phylogenetic analyses are used to inform taxonomic decisions. The application of these new techniques of mating system studies<sup>17-21</sup> and phylogenetic analyses<sup>22-26</sup> are helpful in delimiting the taxonomic boundaries of species and have been used to clarify species concepts in a number of luminescent fungi.

Phylogenetic analyses of multiple loci datasets have greatly advanced our understanding of relationships amongst the fungi and have allowed a first glimpse at the phylogenetic placement of bioluminescent fungi. After extensive searches of pertinent literature, examination of numerous exsiccati specimens, fieldwork throughout the world, and analyses of the nomenclature, taxonomy and phylogeny of reported species, we recognize no fewer than 64 species of luminescent fungi (Table 1). If we couple these data with the seminal research published by Moncalvo et al. 27 and Matheny et al. 28 it is clear that all known bioluminescent fungi are Basidiomycetes and represent white-spored euagarics once placed in the polyphyletic family Trichomolataceae sensu Singer.<sup>29</sup> They are mushroom-forming, saprotrophic or rarely plant pathogenic species belonging to three distinct lineages. Twelve species belong to the Omphalotus lineage (Omphalotaceae), five species to the Armillaria lineage (Physalacriaceae), and the majority 47 species belong to the mycenoid lineages (mostly Mycenaceae). We will address each of these lineages separately.

#### Omphalotus lineage

The luminescent properties of the large and conspicuous mushrooms in this lineage have been documented at least since the time of Pliny the Elder. The group includes the Jack-o-Lantern mushrooms of Europe and eastern North America (Omphalotus olearius and O. illudens), the Western Jack-o-Lantern mushroom of western North America (O. olivascens), the Moon Night mushroom or Tsukiyotake of Japan (O. japonicus), and the Ghost Fungus of Australasia (O. nidiformis). Most species in this group are well-characterized by morphological and chemotaxonomical, 13,30 intercompatibility, 17 restriction enzyme, 22 and molecular datasets,24-26 and are currently accepted in the genera Omphalotus and Neonothopanus. Historically they were placed in the genera Clitocybe, Omphalotus, Lampteromyces, Pleurotus, Panus, and Nothopanus. A recent phylogeny of Omphalotus based on sequences from the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA region included worldwide coverage of eight species, five of which are known as luminescent, and confirmed that Lampteromyces is a synonym of Omphalotus.23 Omphalotus mangensis and Lampteromyces luminescens were not included in the analyses although they have been verified as luminescent.31,32 We suspect that all species of Omphalotus form luminescent basidiomes (fruit bodies). Luminescent mycelium has been confirmed only in three species (O. illudens, O. japonicus, O. olearius), whereas the mycelium of O. olivascens has been reported as non-luminescent (Table 1).33 Although reported as forming luminescent basidiomes, very little is currently known about the biology of the Malesian

species *Nothopanus noctilucens*, *Pleurotus decipiens* and *Pleurotus eugrammus* var. *radicicolus*, and the Brazilian species *Pleurotus gardneri*. In the most current and comprehensive phylogeny of euagaric lineages, <sup>28</sup> the luminescent *Omphalotus* lineage is sister to the non-luminescent gymnopoid fungi (*Gymnopus*, *Marasmiellus*, *Lentinula* and others *sensu* Wilson and Desjardin)<sup>34</sup> and distantly related to any of the other known luminescent fungi.

#### Armillaria lineage

Armillaria species, commonly called the Honey Mushroom, are well-known edible fungi that are saprotrophs or problematical forest tree root pathogens. They form creamy-white mycelial fans and coarse black rhizomorphs as infection, exploratory and transport organs. It is the mycelium, mycelial fans and rhizomorphs that are luminescent as first proven by Guyot in 1927.35 The luminous phenomenon of these asexual hyphae, known as "foxfire", has been known for millennia and the earliest accounts of glowing wood probably document the effects of Armillaria mycelia. It has been shown that the mycelia in vitro can sustain bright luminescence for up to 10 weeks.<sup>36</sup> Interestingly, the basidiomes of Armillaria species have never been reported as luminescent. Currently, the taxonomy of the worldwide members of Armillaria is well-known with ca. 40 species recognized based on morphological, 12,37 intercompatibility 20,21 and molecular datasets.24-26 Because many species are serious forest tree pathogens, much is known about their biology, genetics and ecology, 38,39 although only five species have been verified as being luminescent (Table 1). It must be remembered, however, that until the late 1970s when mating studies and genetic research intensified, most currently recognized species of Armillaria were lumped into an admittedly morphologically variable A. mellea. Hence, the many early reports of luminescent mycelium from around the world that were attributed to A. mellea most likely represent different species currently accepted in the A. mellea species complex. We suspect that the mycelium of most (if not all) Armillaria species is luminescent. In the most current and comprehensive phylogeny of euagaric lineages,<sup>28</sup> the luminescent Armillaria lineage is sister to the remainder of the Physalacriaceae, all of which are non-luminescent, and distantly related to any of the other known luminescent fungi

One final note, it was a species of *Armillaria* (reported as *A. bulbosa*, now known as *A. gallica*) that received headlines and the nickname "humungous fungus" for being one of the world's largest organisms. A single individual (*i.e.*, mycelial genet) in Michigan covered 15 hectares and was estimated to weigh at least 9 700 kg and be 1 500 years old!<sup>40</sup> This discovery stimulated the search for even larger *Armillaria* individuals and in 2003 researchers in Oregon reported a single individual of *A. ostoyae* covering 900 hectares (9 km²) and estimated to be between 2 000 and 8 500 years old.<sup>41</sup> One wonders if the entire forest floor is aglow at night?

#### Mycenoid lineages

Most known luminescent fungi were described in the genus *Mycena* or in closely allied genera and belong to what we have termed the mycenoid lineages. They are nearly all saprotrophic, white-rot decomposers that form small mushrooms with lamellate (gills) or poroid (tubes) spore-bearing surfaces. A few are plant

Table 1 Species of fungi reported as bioluminescent in the literature

Taxon <sup>a</sup>	Mycelium	Basidiomes	Distribution <sup>b</sup>	Citations <sup>c</sup>
Omphalotus lineage				
Lampteromyces luminescens M. Zang	?	+	CH	Zang 1979 <sup>31</sup>
Neonothopanus nambi (Speg.) Petersen & Krisai-Greilhuber	?	+	SA, CA, MS, AU	Corner 198186
= Nothopanus eugrammus (Mont.) Singer sensu Corner		nger	, . , ,	
Nothopanus noctilucens (Lév.) Singer	?	+	JP	Léveillé 1844;87 Haneda 19558
= Pleurotus noctilucens Lév.				,
Omphalotus illudens (Schwein.) Bresinsky & Besl.	+	+	EU, NA	Wassink 1948,6 1978;4 Berliner 196136
= Clitocybe illudens Schwein.			,	
= Panus illudens (Schwein.) Fr.				
= Pleurotus facifer Berk. & M. A. Curtis				
Omphalotus japonicus (Kawam.) Kirchm. & O. K. Mill.	+	+	JP	Kawamura 1915;88 Bermudes et al.
				1992;44 Singer 194789
= Lampteromyces japonicus (Kawam.) Singer				Singer 1947 <sup>89</sup>
= Pleurotus japonicus Kawam.				-
Omphalotus mangensis (J. Li & X. Hu) Kirchm. & O. K. Mill.	?	+	CH	Li and Hu 1993 <sup>32</sup>
= Lampteromyces mangensis J. Li & X. Hu				
Omphalotus nidiformis (Berk.) O. K. Mill.	?	+	AU	Berkeley 1844;90 Miller 199462
= Pleurotus nidiformis (Berk.) Sacc.				
= Pleurotus candescens (F. Muell. & Berk.) Sacc.				
= Pleurotus illuminans (Berk.) Sacc.				
= Pleurotus lampas (Berk.) Sacc.				
= Pleurotus phosphorus (Berk.) Sacc.				
Omphalotus olearius (DC.: Fr.) Singer	+	+	EU	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>
= Pleurotus olearius (DC.) Gillet				
Omphalotus olivascens H. E. Bigelow, O. K. Mill. & Thiers	_	+	NA	Bigelow et al. 1976 <sup>33</sup>
Pleurotus decipiens Corner	?	+	MS	Corner 198186
Pleurotus eugrammus var. radicicolus Corner	?	+	MS, JP	Corner 198186
= Pleurotus lunaillustris Kawam. nom. inval.				
Pleurotus gardneri (Berk.) Sacc.	?	+	SA	Saccardo 188791
Predicted to have luminescent basidiomes: Omphalotus mexic	anus Guzmá	n & V. Mora -	CA; Omphalotus oli	vascens var. indigo Moreno, Esteve-Rav.
Armillaria lineage		_		Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup> 1978. <sup>4</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.	+ + +	_ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA	Wassink 1948, <sup>6</sup> 1978; <sup>4</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.	++		MS EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik	++	_ _ _ _	MS EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel	+ + + +	_ _ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik	+ + + + +	_ _ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.	+ + + + +	_ _ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages	+ + + + +	_ _ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species	+ + + + +	- - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species	+ + + +	_ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani	+ + + +	_ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Mycena species	+ + + +	_ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Mycena species	+ + + +	_ _ _	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarial mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Mycena species Gect. Aspratiles M. lacrimans Singer	+ + + + + +	- - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup>
Armillaria lineage Armillaria fuscipes Petch Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarial mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Mycena species Gect. Aspratiles M. lacrimans Singer	+ + + + + +	- - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarialla mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Sect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes	+ + + + + + + ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.	+ + + + + + + ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.	+ + + + + ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Irmillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Aycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  lect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  lect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet	+ + + + + ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup>
Armillaria lineage Irmillaria fuscipes Petch Irmillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Irmillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Irmillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Irmillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species ect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer ect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval. M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet	+ + + + + ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage Irmillaria fuscipes Petch Irmillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Irmillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Irmillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Irmillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Irronema species Irronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Mycena species ect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer ect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet ect. Calodontes	+ + + + + + ? ?	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup>
Armillaria lineage Irmillaria fuscipes Petch Irmillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn. Irmillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillariella mellea (Valh.) P. Karst. Irmillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Irmillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species ect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer ect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  A. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet ect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg	+ + + + + + +	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarialla mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Aycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Aycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Sect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg	+ + + + + + +	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarialla mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gett. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gett. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gett. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gett. Citricolores	+ + + + + + ? ? + +	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA  SA  SA  MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF  EU, NA, SA, JP EU	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarialla mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gect. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel	+ + + + + + ? ? + +	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA  SA  SA  MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF  EU, NA, SA, JP EU	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarialla mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gect. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel	+ + + + + + ? ? + +	- - - +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarial mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gect. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel  Gect. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani	+ + + + + + + ? ? + + +	+ +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA  SA  SA  MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF  EU, NA, SA, JP EU	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2007 <sup>15</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarial mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gect. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel  Gect. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani	+ + + + + + + ? ? + + +	+ +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2007 <sup>15</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillarial mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gett. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gett. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gett. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gett. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel  Gett. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Gett. Euspeireae	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	- - - + + + - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA SA, CA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup>
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gett. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gett. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gett. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gett. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel  Gett. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Gett. Euspeireae  M. species	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	- - - + + + - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA SA, CA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2007; <sup>15</sup> unpublished
Armillaria lineage  Armillaria fuscipes Petch  Armillaria gallica Marxm. & Romagn.  Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Kumm. sensu stricto  = Armillaria mellea (Valh.) P. Karst.  Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik  Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages  Gerronema species  Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species  Gett. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer  Gett. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet  Gett. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg  Gett. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel  Gett. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Gett. Euspeireae  M. species	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	- - - + + + - - -	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA SA SA MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA SA, CA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Desjardin <i>et al.</i> 2007; <sup>15</sup> unpublished
Armillaria ostoyae (Romagn.) Henrik Armillaria tabescens (Scop.) Emel  = Collybia tabescens (Scop.) Fr.  Mycenoid lineages Gerronema species Gerronema viridilucens Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani  Mycena species Sect. Aspratiles  M. lacrimans Singer Sect. Basipedes  M. illuminans Henn.  = M. bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.  M. stylobates (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  = M. dilitata (Fr.: Fr.) Gillet Sect. Calodontes  M. pura (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.  M. rosea (Bull.) Gramberg Sect. Citricolores  M. citricolor (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Sacc.  = Omphalia flavida Maubl. & Rangel Sect. Diversae  M. lucentipes Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Sect. Euspeireae  M. species  Sect. Exornatae	+ + + + + + + + + + + + +	- - - + + - - - + +	MS EU, NA EU, NA EU, NA  SA  SA  MS, JP EU, NA, JP, AF  EU, NA, SA, JP EU SA, CA SA, CA SA	Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Risbeth 1986 <sup>64</sup> Mihail and Bruhn 2007 <sup>63</sup> Desjardin et al. 2005 <sup>14</sup> Desjardin and Braga-Neto 2007 <sup>16</sup> Haneda 1939; <sup>92</sup> Corner 1954, <sup>9</sup> 1994 <sup>93</sup> Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Buller 1934; <sup>48</sup> Berliner 1961 <sup>36</sup> Desjardin et al. 2007; <sup>15</sup> Desjardin et al. 2007; <sup>15</sup> unpublished data

Table 1 (Contd.)

Taxon <sup>a</sup>	Mycelium	Basidiomes	Distribution <sup>b</sup>	Citations <sup>c</sup>
Sect. Fragilipedes M. polygramma (Bull.: Fr.) S. F. Gray	+	_	EU, NA, JP, AF	Bothe 1931; <sup>94</sup> Berliner 1961; <sup>36</sup> Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
= M. parabolica (Fr.) Quél. sensu Ricken M. zephirus (Fr.: Fr.) P. Kumm.	+	_	EU	Bothe 1931;94 Treu and Agerer 199046
Sect. Galactopoda M. haematopus (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.	+	+	EU, NA, JP	Treu and Agerer 1990; <sup>46</sup> Bermudes <i>et al.</i> 1992 <sup>44</sup>
Sect. <i>Hygrocyboideae M. epipterygia</i> (Scop.: Fr.) S. F. Gray	+	_	EU, NA, JP	Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup>
Sect. Lactipedes M. galopus (Pers.: Fr.) P. Kumm.	+	_	EU, NA, JP	Bothe 1931; <sup>94</sup> Berliner 1961; <sup>36</sup> Treu
Sect. <i>Mycena</i> M. inclinata (Fr.) Quél.	_		EU, NA, AF	andAgerer 1990 <sup>46</sup> Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>
= M. galericulata var. calopus (Fr.) P. Karst.	+	ELL NA AE	EU, NA, AI	Treu and Agerer 1990 <sup>46</sup>
M. maculata P. Karst. M. tintinnabulum (Fr.) Quél. Sect. Roridae (= Roridomyces Rexer 1994%)	+	EU, NA, AF	EU	Bothe 1930 <sup>95</sup>
M. irritans E. Horak M. lamprospora (Corner) E. Horak	_	+ + (spores)	AU MS, AU	Horak 1978 <sup>45</sup> Corner 1950, <sup>97</sup> 1994; <sup>93</sup> Horak 1978 <sup>45</sup>
= M. rorida var. lamprospora Corner M. pruinoso-viscida Corner	?	+	MS	Corner 1954, 9 199493
M. pruinoso-viscida var. rabaulensis Corner M. rorida (Fr.) Quél. M. sublucens Corner	?	+ (spores)  +	AU EU, NA, SA, JP MS	Corner 1954, 9 199493 Josserand 195398 Corner 19549
Sect. Rubromarginatae M. lux-coeli Corner	?	+	JP	Corner 1954 <sup>9</sup>
M. noctilucens Kawam. ex Corner	?	+	MS, PA	Corner 1954,9 199493
M. noctilucens var. magnispora Corner	?	+	PA	Corner 1994 <sup>93</sup>
M. olivaceomarginata (Massee apud Cooke)  Massee  = M. avenacea (Fr.) Quél.	+	_	EU, NA	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>
M. singeri Lodge	?	+	SA, CA	Desjardin et al. 2007 <sup>15</sup>
M. species Sect. Sacchariferae	?	+	SA	Desjardin et al. 2007 <sup>15</sup>
M. asterina Desjardin, Capelari & Stevani Sect. Sanguinolentae	+	+	SA	Desjardin et al. 2007;15 unpublished data
M. sanguinolenta (Alb. & Schwein.: Fr.) P. Kumm. Sect. Supinae	+	_	EU, NA, JP	Bothe 1931 <sup>94</sup>
M. fera Maas Geest. & de Meijer	?	+	SA	Desjardin et al. 2007 <sup>15</sup>
Incertae Sedis				
Mycena daisyogunensis Kobayasi Mycena pseudostylobates Kobayasi	? +	+ ?	JP JP	Kobayasi 1951 <sup>99</sup> Kobayasi 1951 <sup>99</sup>
Manipularis-group				
Filoboletus pallescens (Boedijn) Maas. Geest. Poromycena pallescens Boedijn	?	+	MS	Maas Geesteranus 1992 <sup>42</sup>
Filoboletus yunnanensis P. G. Liu	?	+	CH	Liu and Yang 1994 <sup>100</sup>
Mycena manipularis (Berk.) Métrod nom. inval. [non M. manipularis (Berk.) Sacc.]  = Poromycena manipularis (Berk.) Heim  = Filokology manipularis (Berk.) Singar	+	+	MS, PA, AU	Corner 1954 <sup>9</sup>
= Filoboletus manipularis (Berk.) Singer = Polyporus mycenoides Pat. Mycena manipularis var. microporus Kawam.	?	+	PA	Corner 19549
xx Corner nom. inval. = Polyporus microporus Kawam. nom. inval.	•		171	Collie 1754
Poromycena hanedai Kobayasi = Polyporus hanedai Kawam. sensu Kobayasi non	? <i>n. inval</i> . (not	+ Polyporus hane	JP edai A. Kawam.)	Kobayasi 1951 <sup>99</sup>
Panellus/dictyopanus species				
Dictyopanus foliicolus Kobayasi	+	+	JP	Kobayasi 1951, 99 1963 <sup>101</sup>
Dictyopanus pusillus var. sublamellatus Corner Panellus gloeocystidiatus (Corner) Corner	?	+	SA JP, MS	Corner 1954, 1986; 102 Kobayasi 1963 101
= Dictyopanus gloeocystidiatus Corner Panellus luminescens (Corner) Corner	?	+	MS	Corner 1950, <sup>97</sup> 1986 <sup>102</sup>
= Dictyopanus luminescens Corner Panellus pusillus (Pers. ex Lév.) Burdsall & O. K. Mill. = Dictyopanus pusillus (Pers. ex Lév.) Singer = Polyporus rhipidium Berk.	+	+	NA, SA, MS, AU, AF	Haneda 1955;8 Burdsall and Miller 1975 <sup>10</sup>

Table 1 (Contd.)

Taxon <sup>a</sup>	Mycelium	Basidiomes	Distribution <sup>b</sup>	Citations <sup>c</sup>	
Panellus stipticus (Bull.: Fr.) Karst.	+	+	EU, NA, SA, JP, AU, AF	Buller 1924; <sup>50</sup> Berliner 1961; <sup>36</sup> Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
= Panus stipticus (Bull.) Fr.				Wassiik 1940	
Excluded, doubtful or insufficiently known taxa					
Collybia cirrhata (Schumach.) P. Kumm.	?	+	EU, NA, JP	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Collybia tuberosa (Bull.) P. Kumm.	?	+	EU, NA, JP	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Flammulina velutipes (Curtis) Singer	+	_	EU, NA, JP	Airth and Foerster 1964 <sup>104</sup>	
= Collybia velutipes (Curtis) P. Kumm. [a non-lumines	cent species]				
Fungus igneus Rumph. nom. inval.	?	+	MS	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Gerronema glutinipes Pegler	?	+	AF	Liu 1995 <sup>105</sup>	
Locellina illuminans Henn. (not Mycena illuminans Henn.)	?	+	MS	Hennings 1900;106 Wassink 194	
Locellina noctilucens Henn. (not Mycena noctilucens Henn.)	?	+	AU	Hennings 1898;107 Wassink 194	
Marasmius phosphorus Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 1939 <sup>108</sup>	
Mycena bambusa Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 1939 <sup>108</sup>	
Mycena citrinella var. illumina Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 19558	
Mycena microillumina Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 1939 <sup>108</sup>	
Mycena phosphora Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 1939, <sup>108</sup> 1955 <sup>8</sup>	
Mycena photogena Komin. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 19558	
Mycena yapensis Kawam. nom. inval.	?	+	JP	Haneda 1939 <sup>108</sup>	
Omphalia martensii Henn.	?	+	MS	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Omphalia noctilucens Rick	?	+	SA	Rick 1930 <sup>109</sup>	
Panus incandescens Berk. & Broome	?	+	AU	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Pleurotus emerci Berk. nom. inval.	?	+	?	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Pleurotus lux Hariot	?	+	PA	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
Pleurotus prometheus Berk. & M. A. Curtis	?	+	CH	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	
= Pleurotus djamor (Rumph. ex Fr.) Boedijn [a non-lui	minescent spe	cies]			
Polyporus noctilucens Lagerh.	?	+	AF	Wassink 1948 <sup>6</sup>	

All brown-spored agarics, boletes, polypores, corticoid fungi, gasteromycetes and ascomycetes reported in Table III of Wassink 1948,6 and parts A.2–A.3 of Wassink 1978.4

pathogens, such as M. citricolor (syn. Omphalia flavida) that causes the American Leaf Spot disease of coffee. There are currently over 500 species of Mycena sensu lato and the genus has been subdivided into 60 sections. 10,11,42,43 Out of this tremendous diversity, only 35 Mycena species have been reported as luminescent, but these belong to 17 different sections of the genus (Table 1). Additional bioluminescent mycenoid fungi include five species traditionally placed in *Filoboletus* or *Poromycena* that form putrescent poroid basidiomes, and six species traditionally placed in Panellus or Dictyopanus that form tough and persistent, lamellate or poroid basidiomes. Phylogenetic analyses reveal that Mycena s.l. is not monophyletic, although which infrageneric groups represent distinct genus-rank lineages remains uncertain. 27,28 Multiple loci molecular sequences datasets are currently being generated in the Desjardin lab to help elucidate phylogenetic relationships in the mycenoid fungi. We can state that all but two of the luminescent mycenoid species listed in Table 1 belong to the Mycenaceae (excluded: Gerronema viridilucens, Mycena lucentipes), that Panellus is the correct name for taxa once named Dictyopanus, and that the luminescent species listed under Manipularis-group represent a distinct lineage that requires a new generic name (none are closely related to the type species of Filoboletus or Poromycena). Most of the Mycena epithets added to the list after Wassink4 were the result of our recent fieldwork in Brazil where we discovered eight luminescent taxa from a single site in primary Atlantic Forest habitat in São Paulo State,14,15 and M.

lacrimans from Amazonas State.16 Nocturnal collecting protocols and the use of photometers and digital cameras to capture light emitted at intensities not visible by dark-adapted human eyes have also increased the number of known luminescent mycenoid fungi. We contend that many of the described Mycena species have bioluminescent properties that are currently undetected. For example, most literature classifies M. haematopus as nonluminescent, but when studied photometrically, both the mycelium and basidiomes emit light.44

The components of the life cycle that luminesce are variable in the mycenoid lineages and this surely influences the ecological roles and adaptive significance of bioluminescence. In many species, only the mycelium is luminescent (Table 1), whereas in others both the mycelium and basidiomes emit light. Very rarely has the basidiome been reported as luminescent while the mycelium is non-luminescent (M. irritans, M. lamprospora, M. sublucens). 9,45 Treu and Agerer<sup>46</sup> confirmed earlier reports of luminescent mycelium in Mycena spp. and added several more species to the list. For many species, however, pure cultures have not been studied so no data are available documenting the luminescent properties of their mycelia. There is also variability in which components of the basidiomes glow. For example, in M. lamprospora and M. pruinoso-viscida var. raboulensis only the spores are known to emit light, 9,45 in G. viridilucens only the lamellae glow, 14 in M. chlorophos and M. asterina the pilei and lamellae are luminescent, 15,47 whereas in M. lucentipes only the stipes glow.15 Clearly more qualitative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Taxonomic synonyms are listed only if they were reported as luminescent in published literature. <sup>b</sup> Distributions reported in the literature. If we consider a report unreliable we have not included it. Europe (EU), North America (NA), South America (SA), Central America and the Caribbean region (CA), Pacific islands (PA), China (CH), Japan (JP), Malaysia, South Asia and Southeastern Asia (MS), Australasia including Papua New Guinea and New Caledonia (AU), Africa (AF). <sup>c</sup> Citations where bioluminescence was reported. These are not necessarily the first or only reports of luminescence.

observations of fresh basidiomes and mycelia *in situ* and *in vitro* are needed to clarify the bioluminescent properties of mycenoid fungi.

One of the more interesting luminescent mycenoid fungi is the coffee leaf pathogen *M. citricolor*. Its mycelium produces asexual reproductive structures called gemmifers that are tiny (2 mm tall), mushroom-shaped structures with a sterile (non-sporulating) cap that disarticulates and acts as a dispersal propagule.<sup>48</sup> These modified sterile caps are the primary infecting *inoculum* of new *Coffea* host plants and they are luminescent. Whether the luminous propagules attract arthropod dispersal vectors thereby aiding the fungus in infecting new hosts is unknown. To avoid confusion in the use of the misapplied name *Stilbum flavida* for this stage in the life cycle, Redhead *et al.* <sup>49</sup> created the name *Decapitatus* for the anamorphic dispersal stage of *M. citricolor*.

In the most current and comprehensive phylogeny of euagaric lineages, <sup>28</sup> the luminescent mycenoid fungi in the Mycenaceae belong to the Tricholomatoid clade whose other members are all non-luminescent. The Tricholomatoid clade is distantly related to the Marasmioid clade in which the Omphalotaceae (*Omphalotus* lineage) and Physalacriaceae (*Armillaria* lineage) belong.

In summary, recent multi-gene molecular sequences datasets suggest at least 3 independent origins of bioluminescence in the fungi: in the Omphalotaceae, the Physalacriaceae and the Mycenaceae. The phylogenetic placement of *G. viridilucens* and *M. lucentipes* outside of the Mycenaceae (unpublished data) may indicate a fourth independent origin but more work needs to be done to support this contention. Within the Mycenaceae, the 45 recognized luminescent taxa belong to 18 different taxonomic groups (genera or sections within *Mycena*). Whether this indicates a single early origin of luminescence in the family followed by multiple losses, or multiple independent origins is currently unknown but under investigation in our labs.

### Physiology of luminescent fungi

Wassink provided an excellent review of the literature on the physiology and biochemical aspects of luminescent fungi up to 1978.<sup>4</sup> Since then, limited research has been conducted on luminescent fungi in pure culture and none *in situ*. A few examples are presented here.

As early as 19244 it was known that there are luminescent and non-luminescent strains of Panellus stipticus, and interfertility studies by Macrae<sup>51,52</sup> confirmed that non-luminescent populations from Europe were sexually compatible with luminescent populations from eastern North America. More recent research by Petersen and Bermudes<sup>18,19</sup> indicated that populations of P. stipticus from eastern Russia, Japan, New Zealand, and eastern North America were all sexually compatible, and they confirmed with photometric analyses that the species is non-luminescent in Eurasia, but that both non-luminescent and luminescent strains of P. stipticus occur in eastern North America. The effects of various environmental and nutritional conditions on the growth and bioluminescence of mycelia of P. stipticus indicated that optimal conditions included: darkness; 28 °C; pH 3.8; cellobiose, glucose, maltose, pectin, or trehalose as the carbon source; and ammonia or asparagine as the nitrogen source.<sup>53</sup> Studies on the localization of bioluminescent tissues in P. stipticus<sup>54,55</sup> indicated a 10- to 50-fold increase in luminescence emission during basidiome development

and that luminescence in the basidiomes was restricted primarily to the pileus margin and lamellar edges. In addition it was shown that in both cultures and basidiomes, the wavelength of maximum bioluminescence was at 525 nm. <sup>56</sup> In *in vitro* antagonism studies, it was shown that bioluminescence emissions in *P. stipticus* and *O. olearius* were reduced over six orders of magnitude when grown with the mycopathogenic fungus *Trichoderma harzianum*. <sup>57</sup>

Some early work was published on laboratory cultivation of *Omphalotus japonicus* (syn. *Lampteromyces japonicus*)<sup>58</sup> as a prelude to investigations on the mechanism of bioluminescence, and subsequent research resulted in the proposition of riboflavin as the putative light emitter.<sup>59,60</sup> Other than a few papers elucidating the cultural conditions for optimal mycelial growth,<sup>61</sup> and the production of degradative enzymes, toxins (illudoids), nematophagous compounds, and biomedically active (antibiotic, antitumor) compounds (not cited here), only limited research has been published on the biology of the Moon Night mushroom, *O. japonicus*.

In Miller's<sup>62</sup> redescription on the Australian Ghost Fungus, *O. nidiformis*, he noted different color-morphs that had variable luminescent properties, with a dark form that luminesced rather weakly and a light form with very strong luminescence. Culture analyses and interfertility studies amongst the two forms indicated that they belong to a single morphologically and physiologically variable species.

The dynamics of bioluminescence in three sympatric species of Armillaria (A. gallica, A. mellea, A. tabescens) was examined in response to environmental illumination and mechanical disturbance.63 The data revealed consistent differences in expression of bioluminescence among the three species, confirming earlier work,64 and among intragenet cultures. They found no evidence in support of diurnal periodicity of bioluminescence in the mycelial cultures in vitro. Similar results indicating that bioluminescence does not vary between night and day were reported recently from mycelia of an undetermined tropical fungus inhabiting natural wood substrate in situ.65 Earlier reports suggested a diurnal-nocturnal oscillation<sup>66,67</sup> and seasonal variation<sup>68</sup> of light emissions in A. mellea and P. stipticus. Weitz et al. 69 studied the effects of temperature, light and pH on mycelial growth and luminescence in A. mellea, O. olearius, M. citricolor and P. stipticus and found that temperature and pH had a significant effect on both aspects but that light did not.

Bermudes and colleagues<sup>44</sup> were some of the first to systematically use photometers to measure light emission from fungi, and as a consequence discovered that *Mycena haematopus*, previously thought to be non-luminescent, actually emitted light but at intensity not perceived by the human eye. Measurements of total luminescence of single-spore (monokaryon) or dikaryon cultures of six species of fungi revealed a significant difference in luminescence between different species and between monokaryon and dikaryon cultures of the same species (*P. stipticus*, *O. japonicus*).

Recently, the optimal conditions for the growth of mycelia and the production of basidiomes in *Mycena chlorophos* have been published.<sup>47,70</sup> Optimal temperature for mycelial growth and primordium formation were 27 °C and 21 °C respectively, optimal pH of the medium was 4.0, and light was required for primordium initiation. Basidiomes of this species are typically short-lived, but luminescence at a maximum wavelength of 522 nm was emitted continuously for three days. In an unpublished report, basidiomes

of M. lux-coeli emitted relatively strong light for 2–3 days in situ in Japan.<sup>71</sup> The mechanism of luminescence in M. chlorophos has not been elucidated although it was assumed by those authors<sup>70</sup> to be the same as that reported by Shimomura<sup>72</sup> for other mycenoid fungi (M. citricolor, M. lux-coeli P. stipticus).

To summarize, most of the published research on the physiology of bioluminescent fungi has focused on determining the conditions for optimal mycelial growth and basidiome production, the conditions for maximum light emission, the effects of environmental conditions and mechanical disturbance on bioluminescence, and the variability in the quality and quantity of light emission amongst different luminescent species under different growth conditions. Nearly all of the published research has been conducted on fungi grown in pure culture. There is considerable variability amongst luminescent fungi in the optimal growth conditions for maximum light emission and in the various environmental factors that impact bioluminescence. All reports agree that luminescent fungi have a bioluminescence emission peak in the range 520-530 nm.

### **Ecological functions of luminescent fungi**

The potential ecological functions and adaptive significance of bioluminescence in the fungi have been reviewed recently, 44,73,74 reiterating the ideas of numerous earlier workers.<sup>75–78</sup> It has been suggested that bioluminescent basidiomes attract invertebrates to aid in fungal spore dispersal.<sup>79,80</sup> In an elegant experiment, Sivinski<sup>79</sup> showed that more arthropods were attracted at night to luminous forest litter containing mycelia of a Mycena species encapsulated in closed glass test tubes (to allow visual recognition but practically eliminate olfactory detection) than to glass test tubes containing non-luminous forest litter. In addition, subsequent research has confirmed that fungivorous insects are positively phototactic to relatively low light emissions of wavelengths in the range 300-650 nm.81 Hence, for those fungal species that form luminous basidiomes that sporulate at night, emitting light may have a selective advantage over non-luminous species in attracting potential spore-dispersing arthropods, especially in areas where wind dispersal is inhibited (e.g., dense, closed canopy tropical forests). Given that luminous and non-luminous basidiomes can each produce millions of spores per night that are easily winddispersed, the significance of supplemental insect dispersal is unknown.

Bioluminescence as an adaptation to enhance spore dispersal, however, cannot be used to explain the ecological function of light emissions from mycelia. In most luminescent fungi, the mycelium does not form dispersal propagules, except for the enigmatic M. citricolor (see above). Fungal mycelia are a major or sole source of nutrition for myriad invertebrates, and the fungi have evolved a number of ways to counter predation, including the production of noxious compounds. 82,83 Sivinski79 also suggested that luminescence might serve as a warning signal to repel nocturnal fungivores, or might attract predators or parasitoids of fungivores. These hypotheses are worthy of further study.

As pointed out by Weitz,74 luminescence may not confer selective advantage because there are both luminescent and nonluminescent sympatric strains of the same species, 19 and may have no ecological value. Some published data indicate that light emission involves little energy expenditure, implying that

the luminous reaction involves a readily available by-product compound or secondary metabolite.39,65,73 This has been observed in luminous bacteria where reduced flavin mononucleotide (FMNH<sub>2</sub>), a continuously available by-product of respiration, is the substrate of the luminous reaction. 65 Luminous fungi may be emitting light instead of heat as an energy by-product of enzyme mediated oxidation reactions.74 Bioluminescence is an oxygendependant metabolic process. Lingle<sup>84,85</sup> and Bermudes et al.<sup>44</sup> have hypothesized that fungal bioluminescence is involved in lignin degradation through the detoxification of peroxides formed during ligninolysis. As far as we know, all luminescent basidiomycetes are white-rot fungi capable of lignin degradation. We favor the hypothesis that fungal bioluminescence is an advantageous process that provides antioxidant protection against deleterious effects of reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced mainly by mitochondria during respiration.15

#### Bioluminescence mechanism

#### Early accounts

Although significant advances have occurred in the past 30 years to augment our knowledge of the occurrence, taxonomy, phylogeny and ecology of bioluminescent fungi, only limited progress have been made in understanding the biochemistry involved in fungal light emission. Mechanistic studies of fungal bioluminescence reported until now are incomplete and often misleading. A chronological and critical compilation of published data is here presented.

The first attempts to elucidate the chemistry of fungal bioluminescence were based on the classic "cold" and "hot" extract procedure proposed by Dubois in 1885. 110 Dubois reported that light emission in vitro could be observed as soon as the "cold" and the "hot" extracts prepared from either luminous organs of the bivalve mollusk *Pholas dactylus* or from an Indian clickbeetle, Pyrophorus sp., were mixed. Dependence of light emission on molecular oxygen was already known. Usually light emission from extracts is too dim to be perceived by the naked eye and a sensitive photometer or photocounter must be employed. Perhaps this explains the unsuccessful attempts by Ewart in 1907 and by Kawamura in 1915 to detect light using "cold" and "hot" extracts from the fungi Pleurotus candescens and O. japonicus, respectively. 76,111 Some years later, Harvey applied Dubois' procedure to A. mellea, O. olearius and P. stipticus, 7,112 but did not observe any light emission, which was then attributed to a low concentration and instability of luciferin or luciferase in the extracts.

Buller tried to extract the luciferin/luciferase system from P. stipticus by pressing basidiomes between two glass slides.<sup>50</sup> As the mushrooms were gradually squeezed, the light emission diminished until total extinction. Light could be visually detected if water was dripped onto dried and whole mushrooms; however, if dried mushrooms had been pulverized, light could not be observed upon water addition. Buller interpreted these results to represent a chemical inactivation of the substances involved in the bioluminescent reaction, such as oxidation of luciferin and/or luciferase by substances previously confined in cell compartments.

In 1959, Airth and McElroy<sup>113,114</sup> finally accomplished a successful experiment using the "cold" and "hot" extract procedure.

These authors ascribed the failure of prior attempts to a low concentration of luciferase in the extracts, eventual presence of inhibitors, and/or the lability of luciferin in "hot" extracts. Importantly, light emission could only be detected if NAD(P)H was added to the reactive medium, suggesting reversibility of any oxidation process that affected the native components.

#### Airth and Foerster's proposal

Airth and Foerster performed several experiments using the "hot" extract of A. mellea (source of luciferin) and the "cold" extract of Collybia velutipes (source of luciferase). 115 Clearly, the authors used a luminous culture identified erroneously as C. velutipes, because that species has been shown to be non-luminescent.<sup>4</sup> Some modifications to the classic Dubois's procedure were also introduced by the authors. The "hot" extract was obtained from dried mycelium cultures of A. mellea by prior preparation of fungus homogenate in phosphate buffer and centrifugation, followed by heating the supernatant in boiling water. The homogenate of "cold" extract, obtained from dried mycelium cultures of "C. velutipes", was first separated by centrifugation at 3 000 g, followed by ultracentrifugation of the supernatant at 198 000 g, yielding a soluble protein fraction and a membrane protein fraction (pellet). Light emission was observed as the hot extract (luciferin/oxiluciferin) was mixed with the soluble protein fraction in the presence of NADPH, followed by addition of the pellet (re-suspended in buffer) some minutes after incubation. The protein nature of both ultracentrifugation fractions was suggested by the fact that they precipitated upon addition of ammonium sulfate, were non-dialyzable, and became inactive under heating. The experiment also provided clear-cut evidence that the soluble protein fraction contained a NADPH-dependent reductase while the membrane protein fraction contained the fungal luciferase. Based on this experiment, Airth and Foerster proposed a twostep bioluminescent mechanism involving (i) an initial reduction of the luciferin precursor (X) present in the "hot" extract by a NADPH-dependent reductase, leading to the formation of the fungal luciferin (XH<sub>2</sub>), and (ii) the reaction of reduced luciferin with luciferase in the presence of molecular oxygen yielding light and oxyluciferin (X') (Scheme 1).

$$X + NAD(P)H \xrightarrow{soluble enzyme} XH_2 + NAD(P)^+$$

$$XH_2 + O_2 \xrightarrow{particulate enzyme} X' + H_2O + Light$$

$$Scheme 1$$

Although Airth and Foerster's proposal resembles the mechanism of bacterial bioluminescence (Scheme 2), the fungal system is not stimulated by the addition of reduced flavin mononucleotide (FMNH<sub>2</sub>), flavin adenine dinucleotide (FADH<sub>2</sub>) or dodecanal.<sup>114</sup>

FMN + NAD(P)H 
$$\xrightarrow{reductase}$$
 FMNH<sub>2</sub> + NAD(P)<sup>+</sup>
FMNH<sub>2</sub> + RCHO + O<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{luciferase}$  FMN + RCO<sub>2</sub>H + Light
Scheme 2

Moreover, the maximum wavelength emission observed for fungi bioluminescence is around 530 nm<sup>15,56</sup> whereas for bacteria, it is ca. 490 nm.114 In addition, Airth and Foerster repeated successfully their experiment with any possible enzyme/substrate combinations of extracts obtained from A. mellea, "C. velutipes", and the North American bioluminescent variety of P. stipticus, suggesting that both enzyme and substrate are essentially the same for all bioluminescent fungi species. 104

The structural characterization of the chemical components remained to be accomplished. All efforts conducted to purify protein fractions using ammonium sulfate precipitation, dialysis, different types of chromatography and differential centrifugation resulted in loss of activity and protein degradation. 116 With regard to the luciferin purification, its lability especially in basic medium and the presence of oxygen complicate the adoption of purification procedures and consequent structural characterization.

In 1966, Kuwabara and Wassink were able to isolate 12 mg of a crystalline fluorescent substance from 15 kg of Mycena citricolor cultivated mycelium.117 The compound was unstable in basic conditions, in the presence of light and oxygen, and at high temperatures, similar to what has been reported for other luciferins isolated from different bioluminescent organisms (Scheme 3). 118-123 Additionally, a visible green light emission with a spectrum similar to that of the fungal bioluminescence was observed in the presence of NaOH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or when the substance was subjected to the Airth and Foerster's procedure. Unfortunately, these authors never reported any structural or physical properties of the fluorescent crystalline substance.

Between the early 1970s and middle 1990s, some authors claimed to be able to isolate and characterize the chemical structure of fungal luciferin. 58-60,124-126 Several molecules were then assigned as the actual luciferins, among them: lampterol (illudin S), ergosta-4,6,8(14),22-tetraen-3-one, riboflavin and lampteroflavin, all extracted and purified from O. japonicus (Scheme 4). Notwithstanding the similarity of their fluorescent spectra to the fungal bioluminescence, no further evidence has ever been provided to support the involvement of any of these molecules in the mechanism of fungal light emission in vivo.

#### Shimomura's proposal

During that same period, Shimomura isolated a sesquiterpene from fruiting bodies of P. stipticus possibly involved in the bioluminescent pathway, which was named panal. In fact, panal is present in that fungus in the form of its decanoic and dodecanoic esters, PS-A and PS-B, respectively (Scheme 4).127,128 When panal was incubated with ammonium salts or primary amines for 1 to 24 h, the putative pyrrolic derivative that formed (our assumption) exhibited light emission upon Fe<sup>2+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> addition at pH 7–8. The emission maximum wavelength (485-585 nm) was dependent on added surfactant. 129 The author did not observe any light emission from the fungus P. stipticus<sup>128</sup> using the procedure of Airth and

Based on these findings, Shimomura proposed a non-enzymatic mechanism to account for the fungal bioluminescence, where no luciferase was involved and panal (in fact PS-A and PS-B) was the luciferin precursor. It must be emphasized that there is no report of in vivo reactions of panal with nitrogen compounds. This idea was conceived with basis on the reaction between polygodial,

Scheme 4

a phytoalexin obtained from the plant Polygonum hydropiper, and nitrogen compounds.<sup>130</sup> Actually, panal is endowed with low conjugation and high flexibility requiring a cyclization reaction in order to become more rigid and consequently to increase its fluorescence quantum yield (Scheme 5). Moreover, it is widely known that the hydroxyl radical produced by the Fenton's reaction (Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is a highly oxidizing reagent, which argues against its use in model studies of bioluminescence, in which the main objective is to resemble physiological plausible conditions. The hypothesis of a non-enzymatic pathway involved in fungal bioluminescence requires further investigations to be seriously considered.

We have been able to observe light emission using the classic "cold" and "hot" extract procedure in the presence of either NADH or NADPH with cultures of the recently described Brazilian bioluminescent species G. viridilucens and M. lucentipes. 14,15 To our knowledge, aside from Kuwabara<sup>117</sup> and Kamzolkina's group, 131 we are the only ones to successfully observe light with the aid of a photometer using either Dubois's or Airth and Foerster's procedure carried out with cultivated mycelium extracts under physiologically plausible conditions. Moreover, we were also able to detect light emission with any possible enzyme/substrate combinations of extracts obtained from G. viridilucens and M. lucentipes as performed earlier with other bioluminescent fungi

$$\begin{array}{c} OR_1 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_3 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_3 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_5 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_5 \\ OR_5 \\ OR_5 \\ OR_6 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_1 \\ OR_2 \\ OR_3 \\ OR_4 \\ OR_5 \\ OR$$

probable cyclic product

Scheme 5

(i.e., A. mellea and P. stipticus). 104 Based on our own findings we are very much inclined to favor Airth and Foerster's proposal against Shimomura's mechanism. We are currently employing Dubois's and Airth and Foerster's procedure to guide us in the isolation of the luciferin and enzymes involved in fungi bioluminescence.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. Dr Etelvino J. H. Bechara (Chemistry Institute, University of São Paulo) for helpful discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript. We are grateful to Dr Rubin Walleyn and Dr Brian Perry for the use of photographic images of Filoboletus manipularis and Mycena aff. euspeirea, respectively. Finally, we would also like to thank Dr Vadim Viviani (Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Campus de Sorocaba, SP) for the kind invitation to write this article. Partial funding for this project was provided by FAPESP (grant #06/53628-3 to C. V. S.) and the US National Science Foundation (grant # DEB-0542445 to D. E. D.).

#### References

- 1 E. N. Harvey, in A History of Luminescence from Ancient Times to 1900, J. H. Furst Company, Baltimore, EUA, 1957.
- 2 R. Dubois, Note sur la fonction photogenique chez le *Pholas dactylus*, C. R. Seances Soc. Biol. Paris, 1887, 39, 564.
- 3 R. Dubois, Note sur physiologie des Pyrophores, C. R. Seances Soc. Biol. Paris, 1885, 2, 559.
- 4 W. C. Wassink, Luminescence in fungi, in Bioluminescence in Action, ed. P. J. Herring, Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 171.
- 5 B. Halliwell and J. M. C. Gutterridge, Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine. 4th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007, pp. 302.
- 6 E. C. Wassink, Observations on the luminescence in fungi, I, including a critical review of the species mentioned as luminescent in literature, Recl. Trav. Bot. Néerl., 1948, 41, 150.
- 7 E. N. Harvey, in *Bioluminescence*, Academic Press, New York, 1952.
- 8 Y. Haneda, Luminous organisms of Japan and the Far East, in The Luminescence of Biological Systems, ed. F. H. Johnson, American Assoc. Adv. Sci., Washington, DC, 1955, pp. 335.

- 9 E. J. H. Corner, Further descriptions of luminous agarics, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1954, 37, 256.
- 10 R. A. Maas Geesteranus, Mycenas of the Northern Hemisphere, vol. II, Verh. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natuurk. Tweede Reeks, 1992, **90**, 1,
- 11 G. Robich, Mycena d'Europa, Centro Studi Micologici, Trento, Italy, 2003
- 12 D. N. Pegler, Taxonomy, nomenclature and description of Armillaria, in Armillaria Root Rot: Biology and Control of Honey-Fungus, ed. R. T. V. Fox, Intercept Ltd., Andover, 2000.
- 13 M. Kirchmair, R. Pöder, C. G. Huber and O. K. Miller Jr., Chemotaxonomical and morphological observations in the genus Omphalotus Fayod (Omphalotaceae), Persoonia, 2002, 17, 583.
- 14 D. E. Desjardin, M. Capelari and C. V. Stevani, A new bioluminescent agaric from São Paulo, Brazil, Fung. Div., 2005, 18, 9.
- 15 D. E. Desjardin, M. Capelari and C. V. Stevani, Bioluminescent Mycena species from São Paulo, Brazil, Mycologia, 2007, 99, 317.
- 16 D. E. Desjardin and R. Braga-Neto, Mycena lacrimans, a rare species from Amazonia, is bioluminescent, Edinburgh J. Bot., 2007, 64, 275.
- 17 R. H. Petersen and K. W. Hughes, Mating systems in Omphalotus (Paxillaceae, Agaricales), Plant Syst. Evol., 1998, 211, 217.
- 18 R. H. Petersen and D. Bermudes, Panellus stypticus: geographically separated interbreeding populations, Mycologia, 1992, 84, 209.
- 19 R. H. Petersen and D. Bermudes, Intercontinental compatibility in Panellus stypticus with a note on bioluminescence, Persoonia, 1992,
- 20 J. B. Anderson and R. C. Ullrich, Biological species of Armillaria in North America, Mycologia, 1979, 71, 402.
- 21 Y. Ota, N. Matsushita, E. Nagasawa, T. Terashita, K. Fukuda and K. Suzuki, Biological species of Armillaria in Japan, Plant Dis., 1998, 82,
- 22 K. W. Hughes and R. H. Petersen, Relationships among Omphalotus species (Paxillaceae) based on restriction sites in the ribosomal ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region, Plant Syst. Evol., 1998, 211, 231.
- 23 M. Kirchmair, S. Morandell, D. Stolz, R. Pöder and C. Sturmbauer, Phylogeny of the genus *Omphalotus* based on nuclear ribosomal DNAsequences, Mycologia, 2004, 96, 1253.
- 24 J. B. Anderson and E. Stasovski, Molecular phylogeny of Northern Hemisphere species of Armillaria, Mycologia, 1992, 84, 505.
- 25 M. D. Piercey-Normore, K. N. Egger and J. A. Bérubé, Molecular phylogeny and evolutionary divergence of North American biological species of Armillaria, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 1998, 10, 49.
- 26 M. P. A. Coetzee, B. D. Wingfield, P. Bloomer, G. S. Ridley, G. A. Kile and M. J. Wingfield, Phylogenetic relationships of Australian and New Zealand Armillaria species, Mycologia, 2001, 93, 887.

- 27 J.-M. Moncalvo, R. Vilgalys, S. A. Redhead, J. E. Johnson, T. Y. James, M. C. Aime, V. Hofstetter, S. J. W. Verduin, E. Larsson, T. J. Baroni, R. G. Thorn, S. Jacobsson, H. Clémençon and O. K. Miller Jr., One hundred and seventeen clades of euagarics, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.,
- 28 P. B. Matheny, J. M. Curtis, V. Hofstetter, M. C. Aime, J.-M. Moncalvo, Z.-W. Ge, Z.-L. Yang, J. C. Slot, J. F. Ammirati, T. J. Baroni, N. L. Bougher, K. W. Hughes, D. J. Lodge, R. W. Kerrigan, M. T. Seidl, D. K. Aanen, M. DeNitis, G. M. Daniele, D. E. Desjardin, B. R. Kropp, L. L. Norvell, A. Parker, E. C. Vellinga, R. Vilgalys and D. S. Hibbett, Major clades of Agaricales: a multilocus phylogenetic overview, Mycologia, 2006, 98, 982.
- 29 R. Singer, Agaricales in Modern Taxonomy, Koeltz Scientific Books, Germany, 1986.
- 30 R. H. Petersen and I. Krisai-Greilhuber, Type specimen studies in Pleurotus, Persoonia, 1999, 17, 201.
- 31 M. Zang, Some new species of higher fungi from Xizang (Tibet) of China, Acta Bot. Yunnanica, 1979, 1, 101.
- 32 J. Li and X. Hu, A new species of Lampteromyces from Hunan, Acta. Sci. Nat. Univ. Norm. Hunan, 1993, 16, 188.
- 33 H. E. Bigelow, O. K. Miller Jr. and H. D. Thiers, A new species of Omphalotus, Mycotaxon, 1976, 3, 363.
- 34 A. W. Wilson and D. E. Desjardin, Phylogenetic relationships in the gymnopoid and marasmioid fungi (Basidiomycetes, euagarics clade), Mycologia, 2005, 97, 667.
- 35 R. Guyot, Mycélium lumineux de l'Armillaire, Compt. Rend. Soc. Biol., 1927, 96, 114.
- 36 M. D. Berliner, Studies in fungal luminescence, Mycologia, 1961, 53,
- 37 T. J. Volk and H. H. Burdsall Jr., A nomenclatural study of Armillaria
- and Armillariella species, Synopsis Fungorum, 1995, 8, 1. 38 C. G. Shaw III and G. A. Kile, Armillaria Root Disease, Agriculture Handbook No. 691, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1991.
- 39 R. T. V. Fox, Biology and life cycle, in Armillaria Root Rot: Biology and Control of Honey-Fungus, ed. R. T. V. Fox, Intercept Ltd., Andover,
- 40 M. Smith, J. Bruhn and J. Anderson, The fungus Armillaria bulbosa is among the largest and oldest living organisms, Nature, 1992, 356,
- 41 B. A. Ferguson, T. A. Dreisbach, C. G. Parks, G. M. Filip and C. L. Schmitt, Coarse-scale population structure of pathogenic Armillaria species in a mixed-conifer forest in the Blue Mountains of northeast Oregon, Can. J. For. Res., 2003, 33.
- 42 R. Maas Geesteranus, Filoboletus manipularis and some related species, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetensch., 1992, 95, 267.
- 43 R. A. Maas Geesteranus and A. A. R. deMeijer, Mycenae paranaenses, Verh. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natuurk. Tweede Reeks, 1997, 97, 1.
- 44 D. Bermudes, R. H. Petersen and K. H. Nealson, Low-level bioluminescence detected in Mycena haematopus basidiocarps, Mycologia, 1992, 84, 799.
- 45 E. Horak, Mycena rorida (Fr.) Quél. and related species from the southern Hemisphere, Ber. Schweiz. Bot. Ges., 1978, 88, 20.
- 46 R. Treu and A. Agerer, Culture characteristics of some Mycena species, Mycotaxon, 1990, 38, 279.
- 47 H. Niitsu and N. Hanyuda, Fruit-body production of a luminous mushroom, Mycena chlorophos, Mycoscience, 2000, 41, 559.
- 48 A. H. R. Buller, Omphalia flavida, a gemmiferous and luminous leaf-spot fungus, in Researches on Fungi, Longmans, Green and Co., London, New York, 1934, 6, 397.
- 49 S. A. Redhead, K. A. Seifert, R. Vilgalys and J.-M. Moncalvo, Rhacophyllus and Zerovaemyces-teleomorphs or anamorphs?, Taxon, 2000, 49, 789
- 50 A. H. R. Buller, The bioluminescence of Panus stipticus, in Researches on Fungi, Longmans, Green and Co., London, New York, 1924, 3, 357.
- 51 R. Macrae, Interfertility phenomena of the American and European forms of Panus stipticus (Bull.) Fries, Nature, 1937, 139, 674
- 52 R. Macrae, Interfertility studies and inheritance of luminosity in Panus stipticus, Can. J. Res., 1942, 20, 411.
- 53 D. Bermudes, V. L. Gerlach and K. H. Nealson, Effects of culture conditions on mycelial growth and luminescence in Panellus stypticus, Mycologia, 1990, 82, 295.
- 54 D. J. O'Kane, W. L. Lingle, D. Porter and J. E. Wampler, Development and localization of bioluminescence in the fruiting bodies of the mushroom Panellus stypticus, Photochem. Photobiol., 1986, 43, 100.

- 55 D. J. O'Kane, W. L. Lingle, D. Porter and J. E. Wampler, Localization of bioluminescent tissue during basidiocarp development in Panellus stypticus, Mycologia, 1990, 82, 595.
- 56 D. J. O'Kane, W. L. Lingle, D. Porter and J. E. Wampler, Spectral analysis of bioluminescence of Panellus stypticus, Mycologia, 1990, 82, 607.
- 57 D. Bermudes, M. E. Boraas and K. H. Nealson, In vitro antagonism of bioluminescent fungi by Trichoderma harzianum, Mycopath, 1991,
- 58 M. Endo, M. Kajiwara and K. Nakanishi, Fluorescent constituents and cultivation of Lampteromyces japonicus, Chem. Commun., 1970,
- 59 M. Isobe, D. Uyakul and T. Goto, Lampteromyces bioluminescence. 1. Identification of riboflavin as light emitter in mushroom L. japonicus, J. Biolumin. Chemilumin., 1987, 1, 181.
- 60 M. Isobe, H. Takahashi, K. Usami, M. Hattori and Y. Nishigohri, Bioluminescence mechanism on new systems, Pure Appl. Chem., 1994, **66**, 765.
- 61 K. H. Yoo, Studies on the mycelial growth and cellulolytic enzyme production of Lampteromyces japonicus at various cultural conditions, Korean Med. Database, 2003, 31, 14.
- 62 O. K. Miller Jr., Observations on the genus *Omphalotus* in Australia, Mycol. Helv., 1994, 6, 91.
- 63 J. D. Mihail and J. N. Bruhn, Dynamics of bioluminescence by Armillaria gallica, A. mellea and A. tabescens, Mycologia, 2007, 99, 341
- 64 J. Risbeth, Some characteristics of English Armillaria species in culture, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1986, 85, 213.
- 65 D. D. Deheyn and M. I. Latz, Bioluminescence characteristics of a tropical terrestrial fungus (Basidiomycetes), Luminescence, 2007, 22,
- 66 M. D. Berliner, Diurnal periodicity of luminescence in three basidiomycetes, Science, 1961, 134, 740.
- 67 G. B. Calleja and G. T. Reynolds, The oscillatory nature of fungal bioluminescence, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1970, 55, 149.
- 68 O. V. Kamzolkina, Oscillation of bioluminescence in submerged culture of Armillaria mellea (Fr.) Kumm., Mik. Fitopat., 1982, 16,
- 69 H. J. Weitz, A. L. Ballard, C. D. Campbell and K. Killman, The effect of culture conditions on the mycelial growth and luminescence of naturally bioluminescent fungi, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 2001, 202,
- 70 H. Niitsu, N. Hanyuda and Y. Sugiyama, Cultural properties of a luminous mushroom, Mycena chlorophos, Mycoscience, 2000, 41, 551.
- 71 K. Otsuki, K. Okada, K. Kusumoto and H. Sandou, Ecological characterization of the luminous fungus Mycena lux-coeli in a southern part of the Kii peninsula of Japan, Poster abstract, Inoculum, 2005, **56**, 47.
- 72 O. Shimomura, The role of superoxide dismutase in regulating the light emission of luminescent fungi, J. Exp. Bot., 1992, 43, 1519.
- 73 P. J. Herring, Luminous fungi, Mycologist, 1994, 8, 181.
- 74 H. J. Weitz, Naturally bioluminescent fungi, Mycologist, 2004, 18, 4.
- 75 D. McAlpine, Phosphorescent fungi in Australia, *Proc. Linn. Soc. New* South Wales, 1900, 25, 548.
- 76 A. J. Ewart, Note on the phosphorescence of Agaricus (Pleurotus) candescens Müll, Victorian Nat., 1906, 23, 174.
- 77 M. E. M. Johnson, On the biology of Panus stypticus, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1919, 6, 348.
- 78 J. E. Lloyd, Bioluminescent communication between fungi and insects, Florida Entomol., 1974, 57, 90.
- 79 J. M. Sivinski, Arthropods attracted to luminous fungi, Psyche, 1981, **88**, 383
- 80 J. M. Sivinski, Phototropism, bioluminescence and the Diptera, Florida Entomol., 1998, **81**, 282
- 81 S. Jess and J. F. W. Bingham, The spectral specific responses of Lycoriella ingenua and Megaselia halterata during mushroom cultivation, J. Agric. Sci., 2004, 142, 421.
- 82 H. T. Horner, L. H. Tiffany and G. Knaphus, Oak-leaf litter rhizomorphs from Iowa and Texas: calcium oxalate producers, Mycologia, 1995, 87, 34.
- 83 Y. Mamiya, Attraction of the pinewood nematode to mycelium of some wood-decay fungi, Jpn. J. Nematol., 2006, 36, 1.
- 84 W. L. Lingle, Effects of veratryl alcohol on growth and bioluminescence of Panellus stypticus, Abstract, Mycol. Soc. Am. Newslett., 1989, **40**, 36.

- 85 W. L. Lingle, Bioluminescence and ligninolysis during secondary metabolism in the fungus *Panellus*, *J. Biolumin. Chemilumin.*, 1993, 8, 100.
- 86 E. J. H. Corner, The agaric genera *Lentinus*, *Panus* and *Pleurotus*, *Beih. Nova Hedwigia*, 1981, **69**, 1.
- 87 J. H. Léveillé, Champignons exotiques, Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. Ser. 3, 1844, 2, 167.
- 88 S. Kawamura, Studies on the luminous fungus, *Pleurotus japonicus*, sp. nov., *J. Coll. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo*, 1915, **35**, 1.
- 89 R. Singer, New genera of fungi, III, Mycologia, 1947, 39, 77.
- 90 M. J. Berkeley, Decades of fungi, I, London J. Bot., 1844, 3, 1.
- 91 P. A. Saccardo, Sylloge Hymenomycetum, Syll. Fung., 1887, 5, 1.
- 92 Y. Haneda, A few observations on the luminous fungi of Micronesia, *Kagaku-Nanyo (Science South Sea)*, 1939, **1**, 116.
- 93 E. J. H. Corner, Agarics in Malesia. I. Tricholomatoid, II. Mycenoid, Beih. Nova Hedwigia, 1994, 109, 1.
- 94 F. Bothe, Ueber das Leuchten verwesender Blätter und seine Erreger, *Planta*, 1931, **14**, 752.
- 95 F. Bothe, Ein neuer einheimischer Leuchtpilz, Mycena tintinnabulum, Ber. Deutsch Bot. Ges., 1930, 48, 394.
- 96 K.-H. Rexer, Die Gattung Mycena s.l., Studien zu Ihrer Anatomie, Morphologie und Systematik, Universität Tübingen, Germany, 1994.
- 97 E. J. H. Corner, Descriptions of two luminous tropical agaries (*Dictyopanus* and *Mycena*), *Mycologia*, 1950, **42**, 423.
- 98 M. Josserand, Sur la luminescence de 'Mycena rorida" en Europe occidentale, Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon, 1953, 22, 99.
- 99 Y. Kobayasi, Contributions to the luminous fungi from Japan, J. Hattori Bot. Lab, 1951, 5, 1.
- 100 P.-G. Liu and Z.-L. Yang, Studies of classification and geographic distribution of *Laschia*-complex from the southern and southeastern Yunnan, China, *Acta Bot. Yunnanica*, 1994, 16, 47.
- 101 Y. Kobayasi, Revision of the genus *Dictyopanus* with special references to the Japanese species, *Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus. Tokyo*, 1963, **6**, 359.
- 102 E. J. H. Corner, The agaric genus Panellus Karst. (including Dicty-opanus Pat.) in Malaysia, Gard. Bull. Singapore, 1986, 39, 103.
- 103 H. H. Burdsall and O. K. Miller Jr., A reevaluation of *Panellus* and *Dictyopanus* (Agaricales), *Beih. Nova Hedwigia*, 1975, 51, 79.
- 104 R. L. Airth and G. E. Foerster, Enzymes associated with bioluminescence in *Panus stypticus luminescences* and *Panus stypticus non-luminescens*, J. Bacteriol., 1964, 88, 1372.
- 105 P.-G. Liu, Luminous fungi, Chinese Biodiv. (Biodiv. Sci.), 1995, 3, 109.
- 106 P. Henning, Fungi monsunenses, Monsunia, 1900, 1, 1.
- 107 P. Henning, Unterabteilung Eumycetes, Notizbl. Königl. Bot. Gart. Berlin, 1898, 2, 74.
- 108 Y. Haneda, A few observations on the luminous fungi of Micronesia, Kagaku-Nanyo (Science South Sea), 1939, 1, 116.
- 109 J. Rick, Contributio IV ad monographiam Agaricearum Brasiliensium, *Broteria*, 1930, 24, 97.
- 110 R. Dubois, Note sur physilogie des *Pyrophores*, C. R. Seances Soc. Biol. Paris, 1885, 2, 559.
- 111 S. Kawamura, Studies on the luminous fungi *Pleurotus japonicus sp. nov., J. Coll. Sci. Tokyo*, 1915, 3, 29.
- 112 E. N. Harvey, Bioluminescence. XIV. The specificity of luciferin and luciferase, *J. Gen. Physiol*, 1922, **4**, 285.
- 113 R. L. Airth and W. D. McElroy, Light emission from extracts of luminous fungi, *J. Bacteriol.*, 1959, 77, 249.

- 114 R. L. Airth, Characteristics of cell-free fungal bioluminescence, in Light and Life, ed. W. D. McElroy, B. Glass, Johns-Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1961, pp. 262.
- 115 R. L. Airth and G. E. Foerster, The isolation of catalytic components required for cell-free fungal bioluminescence, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1962, 97, 567.
- 116 R. L. Airth, G. E. Foerster and P. Q. Behrens, Purification and properties of the active substance of fungal luminescence, in *Bioluminescence in Progress*, ed. F. H. Johnson and E. Y. Haneda, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1966, p. 205.
- 117 S. Kuwabara and E. C. Wassink, Purification and properties of the possible precursor of fungal luciferin, in *Bioluminescence in Progress*, ed. F. H. Johnson and E. Y. Haneda, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1966, p. 233.
- 118 B. Bitler and W. D. McElroy, Preparation and properties of crystalline firefly luciferin, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1957, **72**, 358.
- 119 O. Shimomura, T. Goto and Y. Hirata, Crystalline *Cypridina* luciferin, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 1957, **30**, 929.
- 120 E. H. C. Sie, W. D. McElroy, F. H. Johnson and Y. Haneda, Spectroscopy of the *Apogon* luminescent system and of its cross reaction with the *Cypridina* system, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1961, 93, 286.
- 121 E. H. White, F. McCapra and G. F. Field, The structure and synthesis of firefly luciferin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 337.
- 122 V. C. Bode and J. W. Hastings, The purification and properties of the bioluminescent system in Gonyaulax polyedra, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1963, 103, 488.
- 123 O. Shimomura, F. H. Johnson and Y. Saiga, Partial purification and properties of the *Odontosyllis* luminescence system, *J. Cell. Comp. Physiol.*, 1963, 61, 275.
- M. Isobe, D. Uyakul and T. Goto, *Lampteromyces* bioluminescence.
   Lampteroflavin, a light emitter in the luminous mushroom *L. japonicus*, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, 44, 1169.
- D. Uyakul, M. Isobe and T. Goto, *Lampteromyces* bioluminescence.
   Structure of lampteroflavin, the light emitter in the luminous mushroom *L. japonicus*, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 1989, 17, 454.
- 126 H. Takahashi, M. Isobe and T. Goto, Chemical synthesis of lampteroflavin as light emitter in the luminous mushroom *Lampteromyces japonicus*, *Tetrahedron*, 1991, **47**, 6215.
- 127 H. Nakamura, Y. Kishi and O. Shimomura, Panal: a possible precursor of fungal luciferin, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 1597.
- 128 O. Shimomura, Chemiluminescence of panal (a sesquiterpene) isolated from the luminous fungus *Panellus stipticus*, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1989, **49**, 355.
- 129 O. Shimomura, S. Satoh and Y. Kishi, Structure and non-enzymatic light emission of two luciferin precursors isolated from luminous mushroom *Panellus stipticus*, J. Biolumin. Chemilumin., 1993, 8, 201.
- 130 G. Cimino, G. Sodano and A. Spinella, Correlation of the reactivity of 1,4-dialdehydes with methylamine in biomimetic conditions to their hot taste: covalent binding to primary amines as a molecular mechanism in hot taste receptors, *Tetrahedron*, 1987, 43, 5401
- 131 O. V. Kamzolkina, V. S. Danilov and N. S. Egorov, Nature of luciferase from the bioluminescent fungus *Armillariella mellea*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 1983, **27**, 750.



This paper is published in a part-themed issue of **Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences** containing papers on

#### **Bioluminescence**

Guest edited by Vadim Viviani

Published in <u>issue 2, 2008</u> of *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*.

### Other papers in this issue:

<u>Firefly luminescence: A historical perspective and recent developments</u> Hugo Fraga, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 146 (DOI: 10.1039/b719181b)

The structural origin and biological function of pH-sensitivity in firefly luciferases V. R. Viviani, F. G. C. Arnoldi, A. J. S. Neto, T. L. Oehlmeyer, E. J. H. Bechara and Y. Ohmiya, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 159 (DOI: 10.1039/b714392c)

### Fungi bioluminescence revisited

Dennis E. Desjardin, Anderson G. Oliveira and Cassius V. Stevani, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 170 (DOI: 10.1039/b713328f)

## Activity coupling and complex formation between bacterial luciferase and flavin reductases

Shiao-Chun Tu, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2008, 183 (DOI: 10.1039/b713462b)

## Coelenterazine-binding protein of Renilla muelleri: cDNA cloning, overexpression, and characterization as a substrate of luciferase

Maxim S. Titushin, Svetlana V. Markova, Ludmila A. Frank, Natalia P. Malikova, Galina A. Stepanyuk, John Lee and Eugene S. Vysotski, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 189 (DOI: 10.1039/b713109g)

The reaction mechanism for the high quantum yield of Cypridina (Vargula) bioluminescence supported by the chemiluminescence of 6-aryl-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3(7H)-ones (Cypridina luciferin analogues)

Takashi Hirano, Yuto Takahashi, Hiroyuki Kondo, Shojiro Maki, Satoshi Kojima, Hiroshi Ikeda and Haruki Niwa, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 197 (DOI: 10.1039/b713374j)

## <u>C-terminal region of the active domain enhances enzymatic activity in dinoflagellate</u> luciferase

Chie Suzuki-Ogoh, Chun Wu and Yoshihiro Ohmiya, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 208 (DOI: 10.1039/b713157g)

# Combining intracellular and secreted bioluminescent reporter proteins for multicolor cell-based assays

Elisa Michelini, Luca Cevenini, Laura Mezzanotte, Danielle Ablamsky, Tara Southworth, Bruce R. Branchini and Aldo Roda, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, 212 (DOI: 10.1039/b714251j)

## Interaction of firefly luciferase with substrates and their analogs: a study using fluorescence spectroscopy methods

Natalia N. Ugarova, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2008, 218 (DOI: 10.1039/b712895a)