

# THE ANCIENT THRONE

THE MEDITERRANEAN, NEAR EAST, AND BEYOND,  
FROM THE 3<sup>RD</sup> MILLENNIUM BCE TO THE 14<sup>TH</sup> CENTURY CE

PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORKSHOP HELD AT THE 10<sup>TH</sup> ICAANE  
IN VIENNA, APRIL 2016

SONDERDRUCK

LIAT NAEH  
DANA BROSTOWSKY GILBOA (EDS.)

Liat Naeh – Dana Brostowsky Gilboa (Eds.)  
The Ancient Throne

Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften  
Philosophisch-historische Klasse

Oriental and European Archaeology

Volume 14

Series Editor: Barbara Horejs



Publications Coordinator: Ulrike Schuh

Liat Naeh – Dana Brostowsky Gilboa (Eds.)

# **The Ancient Throne**

**The Mediterranean, Near East, and Beyond,  
from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Millennium BCE  
to the 14<sup>th</sup> Century CE**

Proceedings of the Workshop held at the 10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE in Vienna, April 2016

Accepted by the Publication Committee of the Division of Humanities  
and the Social Sciences of the Austrian Academy of Sciences:

Michael Alam, Bert G. Fragner, Andre Gingrich, Hermann Hunger, Sigrid Jalkotzy-Deger, Renate Pillinger,  
Franz Rainer, Oliver Jens Schmitt, Danuta Shanzer, Peter Wiesinger, Waldemar Zacharasiewicz



**Mandel Scholion**

Interdisciplinary Research Center in  
the Humanities and Jewish Studies

---

The preparation for publication of this volume was made possible with the help of a subvention granted  
by the Mandel Scholion Interdisciplinary Research Center in the Humanities and Jewish Studies  
at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Picture on the opposite page:

Reconstructed ivory throne, Salamis (after M. H. Feldman, *Communities of Style. Portable Luxury Arts, Identity, and  
Collective Memory in the Iron Age Levant* [Chicago 2014], pl. 16, drawing: U. Naeh; cf. Johnson, this volume, fig. 2).

This publication was subject to international and anonymous peer review.

Peer review is an essential part of the Austrian Academy of Sciences Press evaluation process. Before any book can  
be accepted for publication, it is assessed by international specialists and ultimately must be approved by the  
Austrian Academy of Sciences Publication Committee.

The paper used in this publication is DIN EN ISO 9706 certified  
and meets the requirements for permanent archiving of written cultural property.

English language editing: Academic Language Experts, Jerusalem  
Graphics and layout: Angela Schwab, OREA  
Coverdesign: Mario Börner, Angela Schwab

All rights reserved.

ISBN: 978-3-7001-8556-7

Copyright © Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna 2020

Printing: Prime Rate, Budapest

<https://epub.oeaw.ac.at/8556-7>

<https://verlag.oeaw.ac.at>

Made in Europe



10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE



## ORIENTAL AND EUROPEAN ARCHAEOLOGY

- Vol. 1 B. Horejs – M. Mehofer (eds.), *Western Anatolia before Troy. Proto-Urbanisation in the 4<sup>th</sup> Millenium BC? Proceedings of the International Symposium held at the Kunsthistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria, 21–24 November, 2012 (Vienna 2014).*
- Vol. 2 B. Eder – R. Pruzsinszky (eds.), *Policies of Exchange. Political Systems and Modes of Interaction in the Aegean and the Near East in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Millennium B.C.E. Proceedings of the Internatnional Symposium at the University of Freiburg, Institute for Archaeological Studies, 30<sup>th</sup> May–2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2012 (Vienna 2015).*
- Vol. 3 M. Bartelheim – B. Horejs – R. Krauß (eds.), *Von Baden bis Troia. Ressourcenutzung, Metallurgie und Wissenstransfer. Eine Jubiläumsschrift für Ernst Pernicka (Rahden/Westf. 2016).*
- Vol. 4 M. Luciani (ed.), *The Archaeology of North Arabia. Oases and Landscapes. Proceedings of the International Congress held at the University of Vienna, 5–8 December, 2013 (Vienna 2016).*
- Vol. 5 B. Horejs, *Çukuriçi Höyük 1. Anatolia and the Aegean from the 7<sup>th</sup> to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Millennium BC. With contributions by Ch. Britsch, St. Grasböck, B. Milić, L. Peloschek, M. Röcklinger and Ch. Schwall (Vienna 2017).*
- Vol. 6 M. Mödlinger, *Protecting the Body in War and Combat. Metal Body Armour in Bronze Age Europe (Vienna 2017).*
- Vol. 7 Ch. Schwall, *Çukuriçi Höyük 2. Das 5. und 4. Jahrtausend v. Chr. in Westanatolien und der Ostägäis. Mit einem Beitrag von B. Horejs (Vienna 2018).*
- Vol. 8 W. Anderson – K. Hopper – A. Robinson (eds.), *Landscape Archaeology in Southern Caucasia. Finding Common Ground in Diverse Environments. Proceedings of the Workshop held at 10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE in Vienna, April 2016 (Vienna 2018).*
- Vol. 9 St. Gimatzidis – M. Pieniżek – S. Mangaloğlu-Votruba (eds.), *Archaeology Across Frontiers and Borderlands. Fragmentation and Connectivity in the North Aegean and the Central Balkans from the Bronze Age to the Iron Age (Vienna 2018).*
- Vol. 10 E. Alram-Stern – B. Horejs (eds.), *Pottery Technologies and Sociocultural Connections Between the Aegean and Anatolia During the 3<sup>rd</sup> Millennium BC (Vienna 2018).*
- Vol. 11 J. Becker – C. Beuger – B. Müller-Neuhof (eds.), *Human Iconography and Symbolic Meaning in Near Eastern Prehistory. Proceedings of the Workshop held at 10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE in Vienna, April 2016 (Vienna 2019).*
- Vol. 12 M. Bami – B. Horejs (eds.), *The Central/Western Anatolian Farming Frontier. Proceedings of the Neolithic Workshop held at 10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE in Vienna, April 2016 (Vienna 2019).*
- Vol. 13 L. Quillien – K. Sarri (eds.), *Textile Workers. Skills, Labour and Status of Textile Craftspeople Between the Prehistoric Aegean and the Ancient Near East. Proceedings of the Workshop held at 10<sup>th</sup> ICAANE in Vienna, April 2016 (Vienna 2020).*

## CONTENTS

---

Preface by the Series Editor . . . . .	9
Liat Naeh – Dana Brostowsky Gilboa Preface . . . . .	11
Liat Naeh In the Presence of the Ancient Throne: An Introduction . . . . .	13
Claudia E. Suter The Play with Throne Designs in Third Millennium BCE Mesopotamia . . . . .	21
Caroline J. Tully – Sam Crooks Enthroned Upon Mountains: Constructions of Power in the Aegean Bronze Age . . . . .	37
Vassilis Petrakis Mycenaean <i>thórnoi</i> , Homeric <i>θρόνοι</i> : Textual Perspectives . . . . .	61
Christina Ruth Johnson To Sit in Splendour: The Ivory Throne as an Agent of Identity in Tomb 79 from Salamis, Cyprus . . . . .	85
Yael Young Throne Among the Gods: A Short Study of the Throne in Archaic Greek Iconography . . . . .	105
Aaron Koller Thrones and Crowns: On the Regalia of the West Semitic Monarchy . . . . .	123
Elizabeth Simpson The Throne of King Midas . . . . .	135
Niccolò Manassero The Ivory Thrones from Parthian Nisa: Furniture Design between Philhellenism and Iranian Revival . . . . .	151
Sheila Blair Women Enthroned: From Mongol to Muslim . . . . .	173
Allegra Iafrate Solomon as Kosmokratōr and the Fashioning of his Mechanical Throne from a Comparative Perspective . . . . .	191
Index . . . . .	211





# Thrones and Crowns: On the Regalia of the West Semitic Monarchy

Aaron Koller<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** Whereas the crown was a central icon of kingship in ancient Mesopotamia, West Semitic conceptions of kingship differed deeply from their Mesopotamian counterparts. In Iron Age Levantine cultures (including Aramean, Phoenician, and Israelite), the throne was a far more potent symbol of the monarchy than was the crown, as is reflected in the iconography, and especially in texts. Phoenician and Aramaic royal inscriptions, as well as biblical texts, show that the preeminent regalia of royalty in the region were not crowns, but thrones and “shoots,” or scepters. This paper draws on epigraphic, visual, and literary materials from the Levant, as well as comparative evidence not only from Mesopotamia, but also from Egypt and the Hittite world to emphasize the significance of the image of the throne in constructing the image of the monarch in the Levant.

**Keywords:** royal inscriptions; Levant; kingship; thrones; Hebrew Bible

The goal of this paper is to draw attention to a difference between West Semitic and East Semitic depictions of the trappings of kingship. In brief, while Mesopotamian, Egyptian, Hittite and Persian sources speak of the crown as emblematic of the king, Levantine texts of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> millennia BCE speak primarily of the throne and the ‘staff,’ which will be seen to be a flowering stick. Although the visual depictions of kings complicate this neat dichotomy, we will see that in texts from the Levant, including the Bible, the staff was a powerful symbol representing power and authority, primarily, though not exclusively, royal.

Let us begin with some of the textual evidence from Old Aramaic inscriptions.<sup>2</sup> The royal ‘authors’ of these texts often made reference to their ascension to the kingship, and the ways in which this is described can be valuable evidence. Some of the references are neutral in this regard; Zakkur, for example, states simply, *והמלכני בעלשמני* (l. 3),<sup>3</sup> and Hazael reports, *איתי* [יהמלכ.הדד.]א [י.ת.ד.נ.ד.ד.] (Tel Dan 4). But some of the other Aramean kings use a more interesting locution. In the inscription that Bar-Rakib, king of Sam’al (Zincirli), wrote memorializing his father, Panamuwa II, in c. 730 BCE, he reports,

אנכי ברכב בר. פנמו.ו.  
בצ[דק.אבי.ובצדקי].  
הושבני מראי. תגלתפליסר. מלכ. אשור.  
על. משב. / אבי. פנמו. בר. ברצר.

And I, Bar-Rakib b. Panamuwa,  
Because of the loyalty of my father and my own loyalty,  
My lord Tiglath-Pileser, king of Assyria *seated me*  
*On the seat* of my father, Panamuwa b. Bar-şur (KAI I. 215, lines 19–20).<sup>4</sup>

In Bar-Rakib’s own slightly later inscription, he proudly says,

בצדק.אבי.ובצדקי.  
הושבני מראי.רכבאל/ומראי.תגלתפליסר.  
על/כרסא.אבי

<sup>1</sup> Yeshiva University, New York, USA; koller@yu.edu.

<sup>2</sup> It should be stated out the outset that this paper was written by a philologist, who has worked, with the guidance of colleagues and especially of Liat Naeh and an anonymous reviewer, to incorporate the available data from the visual arts. I have no doubt that despite the best efforts of Liat and others, there is more to add to this paper from the fields of art history and archaeology due to the shortcomings of the author, and I hope that the relevant specialists do so. I am also grateful to Alrun Gutow of the Staatliche Museen, Berlin, for his help in acquiring the images in Figures 1 and 2 below.

<sup>3</sup> For detailed philological commentary, cf. Brauner 1975.

<sup>4</sup> Translations of all texts in this paper, unless otherwise noted, are mine.



Fig. 1 Detail of ivory inlay (c. 1400–1200 BCE), Megiddo  
 (© The Israel Museum, Jerusalem, and the Israel Antiquities Authority, IAA 1938-780)

Because of the loyalty of my father and my own loyalty  
 My lord Rakib-El and my lord Tiglath-Pileser *seated me*  
*On the seat of my father* (KAI I.216, lines 4–7).

The notion that ‘sitting on the seat’ represents the assumption of kingship is widespread in the Northwest Semitic and especially Aramaic world, at least into the 1<sup>st</sup> millennium of the Common Era. An inscription from Tang-e Sarvak in southwestern Iran, in the Parthian empire, declares in an investiture scene:

... צלמא זנה זי ורוד נאסיב כורס[יא] בר בלדוש

This is the statue of Worod, who is ascending to the throne, son of Bēl-duša...<sup>5</sup>

In the iconography of the monarchy from the Levant as well, the king is often (but not always) seated.<sup>6</sup> One thinks, for instance, of the famous ivory from Megiddo, or the Egyptianizing ivory from Tell el-Far‘ah South, both of which show the king seated and drinking from a small cup (Figs. 1–2).<sup>7</sup> In the 1<sup>st</sup> millennium, the enthroned figure in the Samaria ivory was now joined by the seated figure at Rəḥov.<sup>8</sup>

In other West Semitic texts from the Iron Age, we find that the imagery of sitting on the throne is combined with another motif: grasping the royal sceptre. Slightly earlier than Bar-Rakib’s

<sup>5</sup> Altheim – Stiehl 1957, 90–93.

<sup>6</sup> Some examples are shown and discussed below. It should be reiterated, however, that my discussion here is primarily a textual one, and only secondarily relates to the visual realm. It is my impression that a full study of the art in various media can corroborate the conclusions reached on the basis of the texts, but many more artifacts would have to be discussed than can be addressed here.

<sup>7</sup> See, for instance, Feldman 2006, 123–124. On the Egyptianizing style, see: Bryan 1996, esp. 60–73. Indeed, even in the Middle Bronze Southern Levant, royal statuary shows the king sitting; see the example from Hazor discussed by Ornan 2012.

<sup>8</sup> Naeh 2015, esp. 85–88.



Fig. 2 Detail of ivory inlay (c. 1300–1200 BCE), Tell el-Far’ah  
(© The Israel Museum, Jerusalem, and the Israel Antiquities Authority, IAA 1933-2537)

inscription, Panamuwa himself had expressed his thanks to Hadad in an inscription on the skirt of a statue of the god Hadad. There he expressed his rise to kingship in somewhat different language:

גם[.] ישבתי על משב. אבי.  
ונתנ[ה] דד[ה] בי[ד] י. חטר. חל[ב]בה

I also sat on the seat of my father  
And Hadad placed the sceptre of kingship in my hand  
(Hadad Inscription/KAI I.214 lines 8–9)

The expression חטר חלבבה, restored here, appears clearly earlier in the inscription, but the etymology and meaning of the second word is unclear. (There are half a dozen attestations, but all in this one text.) Based on the context, as well as on parallels in Akkadian royal inscriptions, Hayim Tawil suggested translating the phrase as, ‘sceptre of kingship/dominion.’<sup>9</sup> An etymology was suggested by Ron Brauner pointing to the Akkadian *elēpu*: ‘send forth shoots, flourish,’ thus ‘flourishing sceptre.’ The comparative evidence discussed here supports these suggestions.

The same pairing of ‘seat’ and ‘sceptre’ is found in Aḫirom’s sarcophagus inscription, where he curses anyone who would open his sarcophagus with the curse:

תחתספ חטר משפטה, תהתפכ כסא מלכה

May the sceptre of his rule be stripped<sup>10</sup>/  
May the seat of his kingship be overturned!  
(KAI I.1 line 2)

As Matthew Suriano notes: “The curses [of the Aḫirom sarcophagus inscription] are primarily directed at symbols of royal power.”<sup>11</sup> The staff or sceptre as the symbol of legitimate rule is found in the Bible as well. For example, Genesis 49:10 says, “The sceptre will not depart from Judah, nor the ruler’s staff from between his feet,” and the difficult text in Judges 5:14 (מני מקיר ירדו) (מחוקקים ומזבולן משקים בשקט ספר) seems to refer to ‘chiefs’ as ‘those bearing staffs.’ The significance of the image seems to derive from the metaphor of the plant as life and the king as guarantor of

<sup>9</sup> Tawil 1974, 46–47. See also Greenfield 1971, 256; Greenfield 1987, 69.

<sup>10</sup> The precise translation is debated; Hoftijzer – Jongeling 1995, 393; Gevirtz 1961, esp. 147 n. 2; Lehmann 2005, 38.

<sup>11</sup> Suriano 2014, 101.



Fig. 3 Broken stela of Bar-Rakib, king of Sam'al (c. 730 BCE), Zincirli  
(© Staatliche Museen zu Berlin – Vorderasiatisches Museum, S 06581; photo: Olaf M. Teßmer)

that life.<sup>12</sup> Other biblical texts related to the monarch too mention the staff or sceptre as the insignia of royalty.<sup>13</sup>

Returning to the world of visual images, both the Tell el-Far'ah and the Megiddo scenes show the same pairing; in both, despite their very different artistic styles, the king is shown sitting on his throne, drinking from a cup in his right hand and grasping a lotus flower in his left.<sup>14</sup> From the Middle Bronze Age, cylinder seals show figures (sometimes standing) grasping a flowering staff.<sup>15</sup> The combination of throne and staff is found in one broken image of Bar-Rakib (8<sup>th</sup> century BCE), while another stela of this monarch shows him seated, holding the flower (Figs. 3–4).<sup>16</sup> The Ammonite statue of Yarih-'ezer too shows the king holding a lotus flower.<sup>17</sup>

The trappings of the kingship in the Levant were quite constant; this lotus flower on a long stem is apparently the *ḥoṭer* referred to in the texts.<sup>18</sup> As noted, according to Brauner, Panamuwa

<sup>12</sup> Schmitt 2001, 80–82.

<sup>13</sup> See Psalms 2, 21, and 45, in addition to Isaiah 11, all discussed by Levin 2017, esp. 246–248.

<sup>14</sup> See the discussion in Ziffer 2002, 18–19, on the Megiddo ivories. In these ivories, the ruler is shown seated on a throne, holding a drinking bowl and a flower. Here and in other Levantine representations, the flower is an Egyptian lotus, which became the conventional flower in throne scenes, a motif that eventually was adopted in neo-Assyrian art. The banquet ivories from Tell el-Far'ah (South) and Megiddo are the first known works of Canaanite art to define royalty by way of the symbolism of an enthroned figure holding a cup and a flower. In Akkadian and Ugaritic, and later in biblical Hebrew, the formulaic expression 'throne and plant' (or staff) stands for exercising kingship. The pictorial formula relays the same message. See also Ziffer 2005.

<sup>15</sup> Brandl 1996, 9–11; Teissier 1996, 221; Ziffer 2002, 17; Ben-Tor 2007, 148.

<sup>16</sup> For discussion, see Schmitt 2001, 17–21.

<sup>17</sup> Recent discussion in Berlejung 2017, esp. 170–174.

<sup>18</sup> See Ziffer 2014, 131.

actually specifically mentions the ‘flourishing sceptre.’ Thus, the ‘sceptre’ grasped by Iron Age Levantine kings was a flowering staff, which symbolized their rule.

Within Israel, there are a few depictions of royal figures, but none are completely preserved.<sup>19</sup> A small statue from Tel Rehov is missing its arms, head and legs.<sup>20</sup> A drawing from 7<sup>th</sup>-century Ramat Rahel shows an apparently royal figure, seated on a throne, hands outstretched, but the drawing breaks off just at the wrists.<sup>21</sup> According to Beck, Ziffer, Ornan and others, another royal image is found among the drawings at Kuntillet ‘Ajrud, presumably that of Joash or Jeroboam II of Israel.<sup>22</sup> Again the hands are missing, so Ziffer asks, “Did he hold something in his second hand? A staff? A cup?

Perhaps he was lifting his hands in blessing?”<sup>23</sup> This last option seems the least likely. If the depiction here were in keeping with Levantine traditions, then, as far as is known, the king would almost certainly have been holding a flowering staff.

It seems likely that the biblical story of Aaron’s flourishing staff in Numbers 17 would then be a reflex of this imagery as well:

וַיִּנַּח מֹשֶׁה אֶת-הַמַּטֵּה לִפְנֵי ה' בְּאֵהָל הָעֵדוּת. וַיְהִי מִמֶּחֳרָת וַיִּבֹּא מֹשֶׁה אֶל-אֱלֹהֵי הָעֵדוּת וַיִּהְיֶה פֶרֶחַ מִטֵּה-אַהֲרֹן לְבֵית לֵוִי וַיֵּצֵא פֶרֶחַ וַיִּצְצוּ צִיץ וַיִּגְמַל שְׂקָדִים.

Moses placed the staffs before the Lord in the tent of the covenant law. The next day Moses entered the tent and saw that Aaron’s staff, which represented the tribe of Levi, had not only sprouted, but had budded, blossomed and produced almonds.

There is a natural logic in the idea that whoever’s staff flowers is the rightful authority, since through the Late Bronze and Iron Ages, the flowering staff symbolized authority in the Levant. Thus, the fact that Aaron’s staff flowered was a recognizable symbol of his rightful rule. Normally, it is true, the authority thus symbolized was royal, but here it represented the elite status of Aaron in the religious realm. As we will also see below, the symbols of royalty and priesthood – within Israel at least – were similar and even sometimes interchangeable.

We find idiomatic use of these images elsewhere in the Bible as well. The pairing of ‘sitting on the throne’ and ‘grasping a sceptre’ is found twice in Amos 1, in reference to Levantine kings:

וַהֲכַרְתִּי יוֹשֵׁב מִבְּקַעַת-אַוֵּן וְתוֹמֵךְ שֵׁבֶט מִבֵּית עֵדֵן

I will cut off the Sitter from the Valley of Aven, and the Staff-holder from Bet ‘Eden (Amos 1:5).



Fig. 4 Stela of Bar-Rakib, king of Sam’al (c. 725 BCE), Zincirli (© Staatliche Museen zu Berlin – Vorderasiatisches Museum, VA 02817; photo: Olaf M. Teßmer. For the text, see KAI 217)

<sup>19</sup> Ziffer 2014, 138.

<sup>20</sup> Mazar 2007.

<sup>21</sup> See Schmitt 2001, 95–96; Ziffer 2014, 143.

<sup>22</sup> Beck 2000, esp. 180–181; Ziffer 2014, 148; Ornan 2015, esp. 47.

<sup>23</sup> Ziffer 2014, 148.

וְהִכַּרְתִּי יוֹשֵׁב מֵאַשְׁדּוֹד וְתוֹמֵךְ שֵׁבֶט מֵאַשְׁקְלוֹן

I will cut off the Sitter from Ashdod, and the Staff-holder from Ashkelon (Amos 1:8).

Most translations have here ‘the inhabitants of’ for יושב (the King James version has ‘inhabitant’ in the singular), but some, such as the NIV, have ‘the king.’ The parallelism with the תוֹמֵךְ שֵׁבֶט, and the fact that this locution is specifically used within the Aramean realm first, support the claim that the יושב is ‘the one who prototypically sits,’ that is, the king, as it is indeed understood by a number of modern scholars.<sup>24</sup>

To this point we have therefore seen that the symbols of kingship in the Levant, from the 2<sup>nd</sup> millennium BCE all the way through the 1<sup>st</sup> millennium BCE, were the throne and the sceptre. As mentioned, in many of the visual images, there is also a ‘royal cup.’<sup>25</sup> Although some of the depictions do show kings wearing headgear, none of the Levantine royal inscriptions mention being crowned or a literal coronation as a metonym for becoming king.<sup>26</sup> It may well be that statuary and art better show us how kings actually dressed and presented themselves, but texts, and especially stereotyped and idiomatic texts, can show us what was felt to be most salient by the speakers of the language. The fact that no king says he ‘was crowned’ means that the crown was not a primary emblem of royalty in the Levant; the use of ‘to sit’ and ‘to grasp the sceptre,’ on the other hand, tell us that these were the prototypical emblems of the office.

The same combination appears even as late as the Mishnah. The Mishnah (Sanhedrin 2:5) discusses rules that are meant to protect the honour of the king by forbidding anyone other than the king from using those objects that were meant to be special to the monarch:

אין רוכבין על סוסו, ואיך יושבין על כיסאו, ואין משתמשין בשרביטו, ואין רואין אותו ערום, ולא כשהוא מסתפר, ולא בבית המרחץ, שנאמר, "שום תשים עליך מלך" (דברים יז, טו): שתהא אימתו עליך.

One may not ride on his horse, or sit on his throne, or use his staff, and it is forbidden to see him naked, or when he is in the barbershop or the bathhouse, as it says, “Indeed, set a king upon yourself” (Deuteronomy 17:15) – that fear of him be upon you.

This Roman-era text, composed at a time when there was no Jewish king, reflects on what needs to be unique to royal use, and singles out three items: horse, throne and sceptre. As we have seen, this list (with the exception of the horse) does reflect long-standing Levantine traditions of kingship.

When we look outside the Levant, we find that headgear played a distinctive role in the trappings of the king, literarily and visually. Rather than engage in detailed discussions, I will refer only in brief to the relevant data.

In Egypt crowns played a prominent role – the New Kingdom blue crown (*hprt*), and the more famous red (*dšrt*), white (*hdt*), and double crowns, among others. From the earliest recorded Egyptian history, crowns symbolized the different roles of the king as ruler of Upper and Lower Egypt.<sup>27</sup> In her survey of Egyptian crowns, Katja Goebis emphasizes the difficulty of separating icons of terrestrial kingship from divine imagery. Interestingly, the ‘Ba‘al with a thunderbolt’

<sup>24</sup> See Paul 1991, 50–51. It is conceivable that this usage is found in the Song of Deborah, as well, in Judges 5:10: רִכְבֵי אֲתֹנֹת צְהָרוֹת יֹשְׁבֵי עַל-מִדְיָן וְהִלְכֵי עַל-דְּרֹךְ שִׁיחַ; Schloen 1993, 25–26, translates the phrase על מדין as “who sit over Midian.” Mihāilā 2013, 121 n. 50, objects, writing: “The problem of the former rendering is that יושב על is not attested with the sense ‘rule.’” But since יושב elsewhere can apparently mean ‘the one who sits, i.e., the ruler,’ it is certainly possible that יושב על can bear that meaning, as well.

<sup>25</sup> For further discussion, see: Ornan 2012, 5, 11.

<sup>26</sup> I thank Liat Naeh for emphasizing this point in this context. See also below, n. 38, regarding words for headgear in Biblical Hebrew.

<sup>27</sup> Goebis 2008, provides a very thorough discussion of the red and white crowns in OK and MK funerary contexts. For a more general brief survey with bibliography, see: Goebis 2012.

stela from Ugarit depicts the storm god holding a flowering branch; this too shows a homology of divine and human royal imagery.<sup>28</sup>

In Mesopotamia, there is a royal crown that in Sumerian is lexically distinguished from the headdress of the high priest and high priestess. Indeed, in the Mesopotamian world, the crown is an important part of the king's regalia as early as the 3<sup>rd</sup> millennium BCE. William Hallo summarized the coronation ceremony as revolving around "blessings of relevant patron deities...[and,] in addition, involved the king's assumption of the insignia of the office, or what may be called the regalia, including crown, scepter, [and] staff...."<sup>29</sup> As Claus Ambos observes, "The basic ritual of the legitimation of power is the coronation."<sup>30</sup> In the script for the Assyrian coronation ceremony, we find that the crown plays a major role:<sup>31</sup>

[a-di ku-lu-li] a-na qaqqad šarri i-s[a-li-ú-ni <sup>li</sup>šangû a-ki-a i-qa-b[i]  
ma-a ku-li-li ša qaqqadi-ka ma-a Aššur <sup>d</sup>[N]in-líl bēlē ša ku-lu-li-ka  
I ME šanāte li-i[p-p]i-ru-ka

[While] he is setting [the headdress] on the head of the king, [the priest] says the following:

"May Aššur and Ninlil, the lords of your headdress, cover you with the headdress for your head for a hundred years."

In fact, in Mesopotamia, instead of the West Semitic pairing of "throne and staff" found in Aḫirom, Hadad and Amos, among other texts, we find a triad of emblems: frequently in Akkadian royal inscriptions we encounter clauses such as *ḥaṭṭa kussā agā ušsatmeḥanni*, or "he (the god) handed to me scepter, throne, and crown."<sup>32</sup> The same triad is seen in the images. To take one example of many, the famous banquet scene of Aššurbanipal shows the king reclining on a royal couch, with the flowering staff in his left hand (as his right hand holds his cup), and distinctive headgear on his head.

Interestingly, however, in the West Semitic Akkadian world, this expression is modified, and at Mari we find *šarrūtum ḥaṭṭum u kussūm* ... and *Zimrilim nadnat*, meaning, "kingship, the scepter and the throne...were given to Zimrilim." It seems likely that this modification of the Akkadian formula reflects West Semitic conceptions of the symbols of kingship.

Looking to the north of the Levantine world, which is our focus, we have but meagre information regarding the Hittites. "Unfortunately," writes Yakubovich, "no Hittite script of an inauguration ceremony has been identified so far in the royal archives of Hattusa." In a ritual for a substitute king, however, we read:

Behold, this one is the king! [I have bestowed] the title of kingship upon this one, I have clothed this one in the [garments] of kingship, and I have put the *lubanni*-cap on this one.<sup>33</sup>

Finally, within the Bible the royal culture of Persia looms very large, and in Persia the crown was the prototypical symbol of the king. The official headgear of the Achaemenids was the crenelated

<sup>28</sup> See <http://www.louvre.fr/en/oeuvre-notices/stela-depicting-storm-god-baal> (last accessed 13 July 2019). I am indebted to an anonymous reviewer of this paper for this point.

<sup>29</sup> Hallo 1996, 199. The central role of the crown in Mesopotamian kingship was argued earlier by Hallo 1966, but see the counter arguments by Civil 1980. Hallo 1996, 197 also observed that Mesopotamian conceptions of kingship differ deeply from their West Semitic counterparts.

<sup>30</sup> Ambos 2017, 67.

<sup>31</sup> Transcription and translation from Machinist 2006, 158. The text was published in Müller 1937, 8–9.

<sup>32</sup> This example from Sargon; Winckler 1894, 2.1:35. As Ziffer 2002, 11–12, says, Mesopotamian kings have their 'distinctive headgear.' But as Ziffer further notes, there is obvious overlap between the Levantine and Mesopotamian images: Mesopotamian plaques, too, show the king holding a plant, "suggestive of a palm frond or shoot ... In figurative terms, the palm frond or shoot (*hoṭer* in Hebrew) implied kingship"; Ziffer 2002, 13.

<sup>33</sup> Text cited by Yakubovich 2006, 124.



crown, at least from Achaemenid times through the Sasanians. The coronation ritual of the Parthian kings centred on the physical placing of the crown on the head of the new king.<sup>34</sup> In turn, local kings were crowned by the Parthian king of kings, and Josephus reports (Ant. 20:68) that the king of kings had to give permission to the local kings to wear the tiara upright.<sup>35</sup>

In the Persian-set book of Esther, the royal crown plays a major role. This is also the only book in which the noun כתר is attested,<sup>36</sup> and the crown in Esther plays a prominent symbolic role, although on the head of the royal horse rather than on the royal monarch.<sup>37</sup> It should be emphasized that though earlier Biblical Hebrew has numerous terms for headgear, including headgear worn by kings, none of the terms refers to something worn exclusively by the monarch.<sup>38</sup> Other terms for ‘crown’ are also potentially instructive; the Persian word *korymbos* was borrowed by Aramaic and later by Hebrew;<sup>39</sup> the Aramaic word *klil* is first attested in P. Amherst 63, in Achaemenid times, and it is not sufficiently clear whether it is native to Aramaic or a loan from Akkadian.

Thus in all the cultures – Egyptian, Hittite, Mesopotamian, and Persian – around the Levant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> millennia BCE, headgear played a central role in the presentation and iconography of the king. Only in the Levant is this not reflected in texts. There are hats of various types on some of the Levantine kings seen earlier in this presentation, but these hats are not distinctively royal, and the texts make no mention of these hats as part of what it means to become king.

A word should be said about the biblical data. Von Rad points to the investiture of Joash as king as the single best example of what could be considered a “coronation” in the Hebrew Bible:<sup>40</sup>

וַיֵּצֵא אֶת-בֶּן-הַמֶּלֶךְ וַיִּתֵּן עָלָיו אֶת-הַנֶּזֶר וְאֶת-הָעֲדוּת וַיִּמְלֹכוּ אֹתוֹ וַיִּמְשְׁחֻהוּ וַיִּכּוּ-כַף וַיֹּאמְרוּ יְהִי הַמֶּלֶךְ.

He [Jehoiada] brought out the son of the king, and placed on him the *nēzer* and the ‘*ēdūt*, and they proclaimed him king, anointing him and clapping, and they said, “Long live the king!” (2 Kings 11:12).

The identity of the *nēzer* and ‘*ēdūt* are unfortunately not known. Yeivin argues that ‘*ēdūt* here is merely homonymous with the common word for testimony, and that in fact it means ‘ornament.’ Von Rad, on the other hand, thinks that it is a ‘royal protocol,’ for which he finds Egyptian precedent; he therefore concludes that “the diadem and the protocol were the two items of sacral and royal insignia, conferment of which constituted the essential act of coronation.”<sup>41</sup>

The *nēzer*, on the other hand, is relatively easy to identify; it seems to be a metal diadem that could be worn over a cloth (called a *mišnefet*). The only other narrative in the Bible in which the *nēzer* is associated with a monarch lies in 1 Samuel 1, where the Amalekite brings Saul’s *nēzer* to David. (It does seem strange that a king would wear his diadem to battle.) Two royal psalms also make this association:

<sup>34</sup> See Wiesehofer 2001, 137, quoting Plutarch; see also Sarkhosh-Curtis 2006, citing classical and Armenian sources.

<sup>35</sup> For more on Persian crowns, see Koller 2012, 240–243.

<sup>36</sup> See Salvesen 1999, 35–46.

<sup>37</sup> For the possibility that the crown was on a human head, based on a retroverted Persian, despite the unambiguous Hebrew (וַיֵּצֵא אֶת-בֶּן-הַמֶּלֶךְ וַיִּתֵּן עָלָיו אֶת-הַנֶּזֶר וְאֶת-הָעֲדוּת וַיִּמְלֹכוּ אֹתוֹ וַיִּמְשְׁחֻהוּ וַיִּכּוּ-כַף וַיֹּאמְרוּ יְהִי הַמֶּלֶךְ), see Wechsler 1999, 183–185. It should be noted that Iranists are prepared to accept the testimony of the biblical book regarding the wearing of the crown by people other than the king: “Biblical testimony relates a tradition of gifting in the Achaemenid Empire whereby the king might offer a royal crown and robes to an honored and loyal friend. It is possible that the inclusion of the crown on the royal name seals (which, as we now know, were used by administrators at the court) was a reference to this honor having been bestowed upon these administrators. In this case, the crown becomes a reference to the symbolic potential or reality of a non-king acquiring an attribute of kingship as proof of his status as part of the collective identity of the ‘Persian Man’.” See Garrison – Cool Root 2001, 57.

<sup>38</sup> See Yeivin 1950; Salvesen 1998.

<sup>39</sup> It was borrowed into Arabic, as well. There is a *ḥadīth* that reports in the name of the prophet, *al-‘amā’imu tījānu l-‘arabi* “the turbans are the crowns of the Arabs.” For a detailed study of this *ḥadīth*, see: Kister 2000.

<sup>40</sup> See: Von Rad 1947, 211–216; translated in Von Rad 2005, 167–173.

<sup>41</sup> Von Rad 2005, 171.

חללת לאַרְזַן נְזָרוֹ בְּרִית עֲבָדֶיךָ וְאַתָּה וְנַחֲתָ וְתַמְאַס, הִתְעַבְרַת עִם-מְשִׁיחֶךָ / גִּאֲרַתָּהּ .,

But you have rejected, you have spurned, you have raged against your anointed /  
You have renounced the covenant with your servant, desecrating his diadem to the  
ground (Psalm 89:40).

עָרַכְתָּ נֵר לְמְשִׁיחִי / אוֹיְבָיו אֶלְבִּישׁ בְּשֵׁת, וְעָלְיוֹ יִצְיֵן נְזָרוֹ שָׁם צָמִיחַ קָרוֹן לְדָוִד.

There I will grow the horn of David, set up a lamp for my anointed one / his enemies  
I will garb in shame, but on him shall his diadem flower (Psalm 132:17–18).<sup>42</sup>

More common than an association with royalty, however, is its connection to the priesthood. In the latter parts of Exodus as well as in Leviticus, priests wear alternately a *nēzer* and a *šīs*.<sup>43</sup> The king and the priest, therefore, wore diadems, and these were not specifically royal artefacts.

To summarize in brief, the main contention of this paper can be stated succinctly: in the Egyptian, Hittite, Mesopotamian and Persian worlds, ‘coronation’ is an appropriate word for the ascension of the king as symbolic headgear played a central role in the regalia of the monarchy in these cultures. In West Semitic Levantine cultures, however, the headgear of the king was not a major part of the symbolic repertoire of the monarchy; instead, the paradigmatic emblems were the throne and the staff, and in art, also the drinking bowl. Thus, while in English, ‘the crown’ stands for the monarch, this was not the case in the ancient Levant. In fact, here ‘the staff’ played the same metonymous role.

To conclude, I would like to suggest one connection that seems to be worth consideration: it is tempting to connect the absence of ceremonial headgear to the presence of anointment, a phenomenon found in West Semitic cultures in Mari, Emar, and Israel, but absent from Egypt and Mesopotamia. This requires further thought, and ideally, further data.

## Abbreviations

KAI

H. Donner – W. Röllig, *Kanaanäische und aramäische Inschriften*, 5<sup>th</sup> revised edition (Wiesbaden 2002).

## References

Altheim – Stiehl 1957

F. Altheim – R. Stiehl, *Supplementum Aramaicum. Aramäisches aus Iran. Anhang. Das Jahr Zarathustras* (Baden-Baden 1957).

Ambos 2017

C. Ambos, *Rituale der Herrschaftslegitimation babylonischer und assyrischer Könige*, in: Levin – Müller 2017, 67–76.

Beck 2000

P. Beck, *The art of Palestine during the Iron Age II. Local traditions and external influences (10<sup>th</sup>–8<sup>th</sup> centuries BCE)*, in: C. Uehlinger (ed.), *Images as Media. Sources for the Cultural History of the Near East and the Eastern Mediterranean (1<sup>st</sup> millennium BCE)*, *Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis* 175 (Fribourg, Göttingen 2000) 166–183.

Ben-Tor 2007

D. Ben-Tor, *Scarabs, Chronology, and Interconnections. Egypt and Palestine in the Second Intermediate Period*, *Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis, Series Archaeologica* 27 (Fribourg, Göttingen 2007).

<sup>42</sup> The verb יִצְיֵן here is usually translated “to shine”; I owe the suggestion of connecting it to the “flowering” motif discussed above to Simeon Chavel.

<sup>43</sup> Milgrom argued that the two words were in fact synonymous, based primarily on comparison of Exod 28:36 (טָהַר) with Exod 29:6 (נִזְרוֹתָם): Milgrom 1991, 512. The Egyptian etymology for the word suggested by Görg 1977a and especially Görg 1977b from *nšr:t* ‘flame’ or *nzr:t* ‘snake goddess’, is phonologically problematic.

## Berlejung 2017

A. Berlejung, Dimensionen der Herrschaftslegitimität. Ikonographische Aspekte königlicher Selbstdarstellung in den Kulturen der südlichen Levante der Eisenzeit anhand der Bildwerke von Balu'a, Yarih-'ezer und Askalon, in: Levin – Müller 2017, 147–187.

## Brandl 1996

B. Brandl, A 'Hyksos' scarab and a Syrian cylinder seal from a burial cave at Moza 'Illit, 'Atiqot 29, 1996, 9–14.

## Brauner 1975

R. A. Brauner, The old Aramaic Zakir. A inscription and comparative Semitic lexicography, Gratz College Annual of Jewish Studies 4, 1975, 9–27.

## Bryan 1996

B. M. Bryan, Art, empire and the end of the Late Bronze Age, in: J. S. Cooper – G. M. Schwartz (eds.), The Study of the Ancient Near East in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. The William Foxwell Albright Centennial Conference (Winona Lake 1996) 33–79.

## Civil 1980

M. Civil, Les limites de l'information textuelle, in: M.-T. Barrelet (ed.), L'archéologie de l'Iraq du debut de l'époque néolithique à 333 avant notre ère. Perspectives et limites de l'interprétation anthropologique des documents (Paris 1980) 225–232.

## Feldman 2006

M. H. Feldman, Diplomacy by Design. Luxury Arts and an International Style in the Ancient Near East. 1400–1200 BCE (Chicago 2006).

## Garrison – Cool Root 2001

M. B. Garrison – M. Cool Root, Seals on the Persepolis Fortification Tablets Volume 1. Images of Heroic Encounter. Part 1: Text, Oriental Institute Publications 117 (Chicago 2001).

## Gervitz 1961

S. Gervitz, West-Semitic curses and the problem of the origins of Hebrew law, Vetus Testamentum 11, 2, 1961, 137–158.

## Goebis 2008

K. Goebis, Crowns in Early Egyptian Funerary Literature. Royalty, Rebirth, and Destruction (Oxford 2008).

## Goebis 2012

K. Goebis, Crowns, Egyptian, in: R. S. Bagnall – K. Brodersen – C. B. Champion – A. Erskine – S. R. Huebner (eds.), The Encyclopedia of Ancient History (Malden 2012), 1847–1849.

## Görg 1977a

M. Görg, Die Kopfbedeckung des Hohenpriesters, Biblische Notizen 3, 1977, 24–26.

## Görg 1977b

M. Görg, Weiteres zu nqr ('Diadem'), Biblische Notizen 4, 1977, 7–8.

## Greenfield 1971

J. C. Greenfield, Scripture and inscription. The literary and rhetorical element in some early Phoenician inscriptions, in: H. Goedicke (ed.), Near Eastern Studies in Honor of William Foxwell Albright (Baltimore, London 1971) 253–268.

## Greenfield 1987

J. C. Greenfield, Aspects of Aramean religion, in: P. D. Miller Jr. – P. D. Hanson – S. Dean McBride (eds.), Ancient Israelite Religion. Essays in Honor of Frank Moore Cross (Philadelphia 1987) 67–78.

## Hallo 1966

W. W. Hallo, The coronation of Ur-Nammu, Journal of Cuneiform Studies 20, 3–4, 1966, 133–141.

## Hallo 1996

W. W. Hallo, Origins. The Ancient Near Eastern Background of Some Modern Western Institutions, Studies in the History and Culture of the Ancient Near East 6 (Leiden, New York, Köln 1996).

Hoftijzer – Jongeling 1995

J. Hoftijzer – K. Jongeling, Dictionary of the North-west Semitic Inscriptions, Handbuch der Orientalistik. Erste Abteilung. Der Nahe und Mittlere Osten, 21, 1–2 (Leiden 1995).

Kister 2000

M. J. Kister, “The crowns of this community”... Some notes on the turban in the Muslim tradition, *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 24, 2000, 217–245.

Koller 2012

A. Koller, Learning from the Tāg. On a Persian word for ‘Crown’ in Jewish Aramaic, in: S. Secunda – S. Fine (eds.), *Shoshannat Yaakov. Jewish and Iranian Studies in Honor of Yaakov Elman* (Leiden 2012) 237–245.

Lehmann 2005

R. G. Lehmann, Die Inschrift(en) des Aḥīrōm-Sarkophags und die Schachtinschrift des Grabes V in Jbeil (Byblos), *Dynastensarkophage mit szenischen Reliefs aus Byblos und Zypern* 1, 2 (Mainz 2005).

Levin 2017

C. Levin, Das Königsritual in Israel and Juda, in: Levin – Müller 2017, 231–260.

Levin – Müller 2017

C. Levin – R. Müller (eds.), Herrschaftslegitimation in vorderorientalischen Reichen der Eisenzeit, *Orientalische Religionen in der Antike* 21 (Tübingen 2017).

Machinist 2006

P. Machinist, Kingship and divinity in imperial Assyria, in: G. Beckman – T. J. Lewis (eds.), *Text, Artifact and Image. Revealing Ancient Israelite Religion*, *Brown Judaic Studies* 346 (Providence 2006) 152–188.

Mazar 2007

A. Mazar, An ivory statuette depicting an enthroned figure from Tel Rehov, in: S. Bickel – S. Schroer – R. Schurte, – C. Uehlinger (eds.), *Images as Sources. Studies on Ancient Near Eastern Artefacts and the Bible Inspired by the Work of Othmar Keel* (Fribourg, Göttingen 2007) 101–110.

Mihăilă 2013

A. Mihăilă, The Holy War in the Book of Judges. Some remarks on the song of Deborah, in: A. Gafton – S. Guia – I. Milică (eds.), *Lucrările Conferinței Nationale, Text și Discurs Religios* 5 (Iași 2013) 109–126.

Milgrom 1991

J. Milgrom, *Leviticus 1–16*, *Anchor Bible* 3 (New York 1991).

Müller 1937

K. F. Müller, Das assyrische Ritual Teil I. Texte zum assyrischen Königsritual, *Mitteilungen der vorderasiatisch-ägyptischen Gesellschaft* 41, 3 (Leipzig 1937).

Naeh 2015

L. Naeh, In search of identity. The contribution of recent finds to our understanding of Iron Age ivory objects in the material culture of the Southern Levant, *Altorientalische Forschungen* 42, 1, 2015, 80–96.

Ornan 2012

T. Ornan, The long life of a dead king. A bronze statue from Hazor in its ancient Near Eastern context, *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* 366, 2012, 1–24.

Ornan 2015

T. Ornan, הציורים מְנַחֵילֵת עֵגְרוֹד, in: S. Ahituv – E. Eshel – Z. Meshel – T. Ornan (eds.), *To YHWH of Teiman and his Ashera. The Inscriptions and Drawings from Kuntillet ‘Ajrud (“Horvat Teman”) in Sinai* (Jerusalem 2015) 44–68.

Paul 1991

S. M. Paul, *Amos. A Commentary on the Book of Amos*, *Hermonia* (Minneapolis 1991).

Salvesen 1998

A. Salvesen, The trappings of royalty in ancient Hebrew, in: J. Day (ed.), *King and Messiah in Israel and the Ancient Near East. Proceedings of the Oxford Old Testament Seminar*, *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament, Supplement series* 270 (Sheffield 1998) 119–141.

Salvesen 1999

A. Salvesen, כְּתָר (Esther 1:11; 2:17; 6:8). Something to do with a camel?, *Journal of Semitic Studies* 44, 1999, 35–46.

Sarkhosh-Curtis 2006

V. Sarkhosh-Curtis, Investiture. The Parthian Period, *Encyclopaedia Iranica* 13, 2006, 182–184. Online <<http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/investiture>> (last access 13 July 2019).

Schloen 1993

J. D. Schloen, Caravans, Kenites, and casus belli. Enmity and alliance in the Song of Deborah, *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 55, 3, 1993, 18–38.

Schmitt 2001

R. Schmitt, Bildhafte Herrschaftsrepräsentation im eisenzeitlichen Israel, *Alter Orient und Altes Testament* 283 (Münster 2001).

Suriano 2014

M. J. Suriano, The historicity of the king. An exercise in reading royal inscriptions from the ancient Levant, *Journal of Ancient Near Eastern History* 1, 2014, 95–108.

Tawil 1974

H. Tawil, Some literary elements in the opening sections of the Hadad, Zākīr, and the Nērab II inscriptions in the light of East and West Semitic royal inscriptions, *Orientalia* 43, 1974, 40–65.

Teissier 1996

B. Teissier, Egyptian Iconography on Syro-Palestinian Cylinder Seals of the Middle Bronze Age, *Orbicus Biblicus et Orientalis, Series Archaeologica* 11 (Fribourg, Göttingen 1996).

Von Rad 1947

G. von Rad, Das jüdische Königsritual, *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 72, 4, 1947, 211–216.

Von Rad 2005

G. von Rad, From Genesis to Chronicles. Explorations in Old Testament Theology (Minneapolis 2005).

Wechsler 1999

M. G. Wechsler, ואשר נתן כתר מלכות בראשו (אסתר ו' ה) לאור פרסית, *Beit Mikra* 44, 1999, 183–185.

Wiesehofer 2001

J. Wiesehofer, *Ancient Persia. From 550 BC to 650 AD* (London, New York 2001).

Winckler 1894

H. Winckler, *Sammlung von Keilschrifttexten* (Leipzig 1894).

Yakubovich 2006

I. Yakubovich, Were Hittite kings divinely anointed? A palaic invocation to the Sun-God and its significance for Hittite religion, *Journal of Ancient Near Eastern Religions* 5, 1, 2006, 107–137.

Yeivin 1950

I. Yeivin, כתר, עשרה, *Encyclopaedia Biblica / אנציקלופדיה מקראית* 4 (Jerusalem 1950) 399–404.

Ziffer 2002

I. Ziffer, Symbols of royalty in Canaanite Art in the third and second Millennia B.C.E., *Bulletin of the Israeli Academic Center in Cairo* 25, 2002, 11–20.

Ziffer 2005

I. Ziffer, From Acemhöyük to Megiddo. The banquet scene in the art of the Levant in the second Millennium BCE, *Tel Aviv* 32, 2, 2005, 133–167.

Ziffer 2014

I. Ziffer, מלך ישראל באמנות, (שמ"א ה' 19): מלך ישראל באמנות, *Shnaton – An Annual for Biblical and Ancient Near Eastern Studies* 23, 2014, 125–154.

*The Ancient Throne* provides readers with a collection of articles that either study specific thrones known from historical texts, artistic depictions or excavations, or offer an overview of the role of thrones from as early as ancient Mesopotamia in the 3<sup>rd</sup> millennium BCE to as late as Iran and China in the 14<sup>th</sup> century CE. The volume thus collates the work of scholars who specialise in diverse cultures and who have all found thrones to be helpful vehicles for promoting unique inquiries into such issues as royalty, society, ritual, and religion within their areas of expertise. The breadth of their collective efforts offers a comparative view through which the dissemination of political and ideological concepts may be better explored. The following collection of articles, however, does not attempt to provide a single answer to the question of what a throne is or is not, but instead presents the authors' individual – and sometimes conflicting – outlooks. While the volume is far from being a comprehensive survey of thrones in Eurasian cultures across the ages, it nevertheless offers readers a specialised bibliography and draws attention to scholarly trends that will be useful to future studies on thrones in general. Most of all, the volume cohesively suggests that thrones have been a meaningful category of material culture throughout history, one that may inspire both inter-cultural and intra-cultural studies of the ways in which types of chairs can embody, execute or induce notions of kingship and a range of concepts pertaining to the religious, ideological, and social spheres.