Faculty Assembly Vetoes Curriculum; Establishes Committee To Reevaluate

BY DAVID VORCHHEIMER

APRIL 8 – The Faculty Assembly today vetoed the Senate’s curriculum revision plan by a resounding 30-0 vote. Although this was the third meeting on the subject, this was the first at which a quorum was present to allow a vote to be taken on this issue.

Establish Committee

The faculty, however, did move in the direction of studying curricular revision by approving the establishment of a curriculum review committee which will consist of the Dean and four faculty members. As well, as required by the Senate Constitution, two students will be nominated by the Student Council to serve on this committee.

The major threat of the vetoed proposal would have been the elimination of the current foreign language requirement in favor of a twelve credit elective group, and reduction in the Yeshiva Jewish studies courses. Many students seemed pleased with the proposed changes. Of the 40% of the student body who participated in a recent YCDS poll, 80% favored in favor of the proposed student Senator Moshe Schwerd, who was chairman of the Senate Curriculum Committee which developed the proposal, expressed his disappointment with the faculty's vote. He was very suspicious as to whether the vote was purely on the merits of the proposal. The future of the Senate on the question has been paramount to the faculty.

Withdraw Motion

At today’s meeting, it was also reported that Dr. Edward Levy, Chairman of the Faculty Welfare Committee, agreed to withdraw his motion calling for the abolishment of the Senate. However, he urged the faculty to consider revising the Senate’s jurisdiction, increasing the faculty members required to veto Senate legislation from a plurality to a majority, and reducing the per cent of faculty Assembly members required to vote Senate legislation from 67% to 61%. Al- though Dr. Levy declined to comment on the nature of his proposals or the motives behind them, he did offer, “The question of the relationship of the faculty to the Senate is being discussed.” He preferred to wait for a united faculty decision of the subject before offering his own opinion. He emphasized that, “Anyone who believes this is an anti-student activity is being vicious and confused.”

The Jewish studies program is the main reason students chose Yeshiva — this held true for all of the eight categories of students. Other reasons were the record of graduate acceptances as well as parental or peer pressure. Almost 65% of the students were upset that the Phi Beta Kappa honor society rejected Yeshiva’s application two years ago. Interestingly enough, a greater percentage of students

(Continued on Page I, Col. 1)
We, the 1980-81 Governing Board of the Commentator, would like, in our final issue, to address a concern that lies at the very heart of our institution—the Torah U'madah ideal.

It has become apparent that during their college years, students of Yeshiva College acquire no more than a rudimentary understanding of or perception in what the synthesis philosophy of Torah U'madah represents. This ignorance extends not only to the abstract facets of synthesis, but, equally important, it encompasses misconceptions about the practical application of the Torah U'madah ideal.

The Orthodox Jewish community today is moving towards the right and hence is becoming more exclusionist. It is once again beginning to distance itself from the secular society. A large portion of the community no longer finds it necessary to believe in the dualistic ideal of the Jewish community. It is this trend which has led to the survival of the Jewish community depends directly on this dualistic nature. In addition, we have witnessed and are continuing to witness, a strong swing in our student body towards careerism. This, in turn, directly opposes what we are striving for, because such a mentality lays aside the sense of communal responsibility, in favor of individual achievement.

The trend continues, and yet there is no response from those occupying the positions of leadership. The time has come to realize our student body to the purpose and goal of this University. We are in dire need of authoritative direction and intellectual guidance in the Torah U'madah philosophy and in its practical application. This is the reason that the upper echelons of our institution. Though we are aware of the University's financial strains, which President Lamm is subjected to, we hereby publicly and officially take this issue in the arduous task of reestablishing the vitality of the Torah U'madah ideal.

To the Editor:

The display of anti-Semitic literature in Furst Hall was most distressing and shocking. The well prepared display stands as a reminder of the everpresent threat of anti-Semitism in America. For such an informative and innovative idea, I would like to thank those responsible for their display and for making the display available to this institution.

Robert Schuldin
YC '82

Shocking

To the Editor:

It disheartens me to see the myopia with which some understand the "Palestinian Question" and Israel's position therein. In your last issue, Michael Klein adamantly declared in the Letter to the Editor that a mutual understanding of each other's language would readily allow the Palestinians and Israelis to "achieve" peace, rather than to further resolve their differences.

Though illigical, the statement doesn't surprise me. Michael Klein represents a large and growing segment of the Jewish people, namely the bleeding-heart liberals, whose main seems to be "side with the underdogs" regardless of the detriment to themselves. Religion cannot be considered in the liberal-formationed opinion. It would only frustrate the liberal, cause him to err and choose the illegitimate alternative. What Michael Klein's "solution" suggests is a lack of concern for his fellow Jews in settlements along the West Bank. It is a gross misunderstanding of the historical factors which prompted the current problem.

The Palestinian question is the result of the Arab-Israeli conflict, not the source. The basic cause of the current conflict is the fundamental refusal of the Arab states to recognize Israel's right to exist as an independent Jewish state. An apprehension of Palestinian language and custom will not rectify what three wars wouldn't, viz, the recognition of Israel as a legitimate entity. In my opinion, the Jewish leaders actively advocated the establishment of a binational state in Palestine, where both the living Palestinian Arabs to join them.

That Michael Klein even sees the necessity for discussions with the Palestinians adds to his lack of understanding of the true conflict. I must assume that in advising discussion, he finds something unnecessary or lacking in the Palestinian Arabs' current living conditions, a monstrosity that we must duly correct. Perhaps Michael Klein's concern for the economic status which has only improved under Israeli administration. Maybe Michael Klein feels that all Palestinians should be repatriated in Israel because the Arabs deserve it, even though in every war's aftermath resettlement and not repatriation was the basic solution. Or perhaps he maintains that the Palestinians deserve self-determination and should therefore be granted their own homeland? What a wonderful idea! But why stop there? Why not grant Indiana to the Jews? Why not give the Indians' their own homeland? And the Kurds. And the Soviets in Cuba. And the Cubans in El Salvador. What Michael Klein neglects to mention or realize is that the Arab states are deeply worried, with good reason, that they have lost their Palestinian Arabs to join them.

When we come down to the fundamentals of his argument, we learn: Palestinians should hold water. Rather than suggest oversimplified panaceas, maybe Michael Klein should spend a few years in Israel and hopefully alter his trite views.

Sincerely yours,
Joshua Teplitz YC '81

Synthesize

Revitalize

Extracurricular life at Yeshiva College is marked by a great variety and number of clubs and societies. These clubs afford Yeshiva students the opportunity to expand intellectually, culturally, and athletically. Their activities add positively to the atmosphere of the college, and atmosphere of the college, and attitude toward the school.

Unfortunately, some of these clubs seem to fill their activity rosters with an annual guest speaker or group meeting. They are not living up to their potential. We call upon the future presidents of these clubs to rejuvenate them by initiating, throughout the year, such programs as lecture series, panel discussions, and group trips.

In contrast, the Dramatics Society deserves special recognition for its outstanding achievement. Its members, through their efforts and with the help of its members are refreshing and inspiring. We call upon the student body to take heed of their example and to revitalize the entire spectrum of extracurricular activity at YC.

Sincerely yours,
Joshua Teplitz YC '81

ATTENTION ALL STUDENTS

There are two positions available for students to serve on a committee which will act as an advisory board to the Full Faculty Assembly. All sophomores and juniors that are interested are urged to submit their names to Robert Friedman, M223, by April 28.

Sincerely yours,
Joshua Teplitz YC '81

Letters To The Editor

Shocking

To the Editor:

The display of anti-Semitic literature in Furst Hall was most distressing and shocking. The well prepared display stands as a reminder of the everpresent threat of anti-Semitism in America. For such an informative and innovative idea, I would like to thank those responsible for their display and for making the display available to this institution.

Robert Schuldin
YC '82

Shocking

To the Editor:

It disheartens me to see the myopia with which some understand the "Palestinian Question" and Israel's position therein. In your last issue, Michael Klein adamantly declared in the Letter to the Editor that a mutual understanding of each other's language would readily allow the Palestinians and Israelis to "achieve" peace, rather than to further resolve their differences.

Though illigical, the statement doesn't surprise me. Michael Klein represents a large and growing segment of the Jewish people, namely the bleeding-heart liberals, whose main seems to be "side with the underdogs" regardless of the detriment to themselves. Religion cannot be considered in the liberal-formationed opinion. It would only frustrate the liberal, cause him to err and choose the illegitimate alternative. What Michael Klein's "solution" suggests is a lack of concern for his fellow Jews in settlements along the West Bank. It is a gross misunderstanding of the historical factors which prompted the current problem.

The Palestinian question is the result of the Arab-Israeli conflict, not the source. The basic cause of the current conflict is the fundamental refusal of the Arab states to recognize Israel's right to exist as an independent Jewish state. An apprehension of Palestinian language and custom will not rectify what three wars wouldn't, viz, the recognition of Israel as a legitimate entity. In my opinion, the Jewish leaders actively advocated the establishment of a binational state in Palestine, where both the living Palestinian Arabs to join them.

That Michael Klein even sees the necessity for discussions with the Palestinians adds to his lack of understanding of the true conflict. I must assume that in advising discussion, he finds something unnecessary or lacking in the Palestinian Arabs' current living conditions, a monstrosity that we must duly correct. Perhaps Michael Klein's concern for the economic status which has only improved under Israeli administration. Maybe Michael Klein feels that all Palestinians should be repatriated in Israel because the Arabs deserve it, even though in every war's aftermath resettlement and not repatriation was the basic solution. Or perhaps he maintains that the Palestinians deserve self-determination and should therefore be granted their own homeland? What a wonderful idea! But why stop there? Why not grant Indiana to the Jews? Why not give the Indians' their own homeland? And the Kurds. And the Soviets in Cuba. And the Cubans in El Salvador. What Michael Klein neglects to mention or realize is that the Arab states are deeply worried, with good reason, that they have lost their Palestinian Arabs to join them.

When we come down to the fundamentals of his argument, we learn: Palestinians should hold water. Rather than suggest oversimplified panaceas, maybe Michael Klein should spend a few years in Israel and hopefully alter his trite views.

Sincerely yours,
Joshua Teplitz YC '81

Annoying

To the Editor:

I would like to respond to the letters from Alvin Levin and Michael Klein (April 2, Commentary) attacking Dov Finch. First, the liberated territories of Yehudah and Shomron are part of Erets Yisroel; we have every right to establish sovereignty over them. If Mr. Levin opposes settling Jews in Yehudah and Shomron before a peace agreement is signed, and is also apparently quite prepared to relinquish those lands to the Arabs through a false agreement of truce, then what he really means is that Jews should never be allowed to settle in the liberated lands. As to his remark about "de facto annexation," one can annex only that which doesn't belong to one. Yehudah and Shomron are already ours.

Now, to turn to Mr. Klein. I was most distressed by your constant references to "Palestine." Throughout all his history, the Arabs living in Erets Yisroel regarded themselves as Egyptians, not Palestinians. The only "Palestinian" people who ever existed are the Jews (yes, us).

Secondly, the Kaab movement does not seek to oppress the Arabs residing in the State of Israel or the liberated lands. Rather, they desire to help both parties by lessening the chance of violent conflict between the Jews and Arabs in Erets Yisroel, pre- ferably by removing the Arabs from Erets Yisroel. I see no wrong with this. History has shown us time and again that people live best among their own people. If the Arabs in Israel were removed, perhaps full compensation for property left behind, to other Arab countries (there are certainly enough of them), the chance of a disas- trous confrontation would be considerably lessened. This is not oppression any more than the partitioning of India and Pakis- tan was oppression.

Now, another point. If (what) "solution" we were to return the Arabs and Shomron, to whom would we return them? Jordan? That would not help the Arabs who call themselves Palestinians at all. They (and "by" they I mean the FLO, primarily) regard Jordan to be as much of an enemy as Israel. Giving the liberated lands to Jordan would undoubtedly make King Hussein very happy, but would accomplish little else. Or do you suggest that we give Mr. Arafat a nice little state of his own, just a few short miles from Tel Aviv? If you know of someone else to whom we can give the land, please let me know.

As to the "problem of Palestine autonomy," there is, in my opinion, no problem of Palestinian autonomy. The Arabs have no right to (Continued on Page 7, Col. 1)
Dean Berger Addresses Psychology Club, Outlining Many Options Open To Students

By KEN WAGNER

APRIL 9—Dr. Morton Berger, Dean of the Farkauf Graduate School, today addressed two hundred members of the Psychology Club on the various opportunities available in psychology at the school.

"The school presently offers degrees in social, experimental, clinical, and health psychology. The school offers the best academic and professional preparation in the country that are preparing this type of training, which leads to the medical doctorate. Also, the options available in clinical psychology at Farkauf were the two options in clinical psychology which, according to Dr. Berger, can take anywhere from one year to ten years.

"The other option in clinical psychology is a non-doctorate program which offers the only doctorate of psychology (Psy.D.) in the state. This program is geared towards training practitioners as opposed to a researcher. This degree when compared to the Ph.D. in a field similar to the difference between an M.D. and a Ph.D. in biology. The term of this program is limited to four years and starts the student off in the first year with practical, or hands-on experience in the clinical setting. Courses are given in administering intelligence tests, conducting psychotherapy, and in other areas in which the clinical psychologists function. Dr. Berger pointed out that as opposed to the other schools in the country that are offering this degree, Farkauf has a steady training program in research techniques, not to train the student as a scientist but rather to assure that he will have the capability of digesting and assimilating new content in the field of clinical psychology. Dean Berger stated that this unique aspect of Farkauf's program would make it a model program for the entire state."

"Dr. Berger invited serious Yeshiva students to tender applications. He noted that at Farkauf there is an appreciation for the special training and background of Yeshiva students. Dr. Berger also invited members of the psychology club to visit with the faculty and students of the Farkauf psychology programs."

The Commentator Poll

(Cont. from Page 1, Col. 1) who regretted that they were more bothered by this than those who did not regret coming to Yeshiva.

A large majority of the group who regretted that they came to YU feels that the religious balance is satisfactory. Of the group that regretted that they came to YU, 41% feel that it is satisfactory, 25% that it is too secular, and 35% that it is too religious. Those students with higher, rather than lower, religious values tended to have more positive attitudes toward the University. The same was true for those students, rather than lower, students.

"Some of the other responses to poll questions comprise the following data:

76% of the students considered themselves Zionists, 6% do not and 15% are undecided. On the other hand only 22% have no credit union, with 22% having no such plans and 32% being undecided.

When asked the date, 26% responded that they date frequently, 54% occasionally and 19% never. 41% indicated that they would date an unbeliever. In a similar poll, 46% indicated that they would date non-Jewish girls. Pre-marital sex is not a problem. Of the group that were asked, 15% have had sex, and 85% have not. The use of alcohol is more widespread—38% use alcohol only on religious occasions, 67% admit to using it occasionnally and 10% indicated never. Dr. Berger concluded that sexual and drug attitudes amongst YU students are generally quite conservative. Such documented data is quite significant light of current norms for college students.

It appears from the poll that students' social and recreational activities are not done adequately. 41% indicated that they never spend any time together, and 45% of the student body leave the campus at least once a week for entertainment. Finally, on the issue of whether the University should become a co-educational institution, 35% felt it should not, 46% felt it should and 15% were undecided.

For some of the variables and factors of the poll there is no obvious response is rather small, so the answers are not always straightforward. Even though it does seem that the data can help guide the administration in future planning and policy, an updated Commentator survey is currently underway.

The Question Is: How Long Do You Think Yeshiva College Could Survive Without A Change in Curriculum?

The majority of people responding is rather satisfied with the fact that YU is able to attract students. Included are material from Klux Klan and various Christian missionary groups.

PollSpot Sponsors

That B. Joseph D. D. D. Jeuner Policy Science Society, the display is part of Israel's Museum of Potential Holocaust, an institution which has been gathering such material on the Holocaust for over five years. Previously exhibited in Queens College, the display will be on display in the Brooklyn Library. While here, it has generated much interest among members of the student body. Ralph Sugarman, President of the Joseph II. D. J. D. Jeuner Policy Science Society, estimated that seventy-five percent of the students at Yeshiva have stopped to examine the items being shown. Even two city council members have come to see the displayed articles. "The response has been so great," Mr. Sugarman says, "that I am taking steps to try to make this a permanent display at Yeshiva College.""
Azia And The Jew

Reb Azia fell down to the ground, crumpled like so many pieces of dirty laundry in a front of the tiny shul. They stood up as if that had never happened and ignored him. Guttural German came from all directions, back and forth ignoring one another as if they were not there. Azia looked up and saw that the heavy oaken doors of the shul were shut. He went to the shul to get his hat on and was waylaid by Neuaman himself. He turned his back on Neuaman and walked back into the shul. As he scrutinized the walls, he realized...
Doomsdayday

By DAVID KOBERT

Ronald Reagan was restless. He didn't like being trapped in Camp David, even if he did have television cameras and the television remote control, and filled the time on. The President began pacing off as the evening drew near.

"This is Dan Rather broadcasting: The United States is in the Situation Room. Just minutes ago, the Soviet Union moved into Poland. The NATO countries delivered an immediate ultimatum: 'Get out of Poland or it means war.' Thus, we are sending five SS-20s, each armed with ten nuclear warheads. An emergency Cabinet meeting has been called..."

HAIG: "I call this meeting to order. With the absence of the President, the Secretary of State takes over. The President is in the Situation Room..."

ALEX: "Excuse me, Mr. Haig. I believe I have the phone call from..."

HAIG: "Shut up, Allen, can't you see I'm busy? Why are you always so chatty?"

BUSH: "What do you mean you're control? The President placed me in charge of crisis management around here, remember? Hand me that gavel."

RICH: "Will you keep your voice down, George? You don't have to scare the whole Cabinet half to death. There's no crisis at hand, everything is secure in the Situation Room. Why are you speaking so loudly? I'm the vice of foreign policy, I can cope with a little distress..."

BUSH: "Are you United States? Alexander, the Russians have mislaid winging their way to the United States."

HAIG: "All right, we have to evacuate the capital. Get me some maps so I can plot our moves and supply lines. And Allen, while you're at it, bring me a pointier."

BUSH: "I've got too late for that. The capital will be here before anyone could escape. We have to make the decision on a retaliatory strike during the evening that began..."

HAIG: "I know what I want is, I was Commander of the NATO. I've been a general before I became a vicer..."

BUSH: "So what are we going to do?"

HAIG: "I don't know, I suppose on one hand we could press our advantage. On the other hand, we could cut the charge you Prince Charles' wedding..."

BUSH: "Oh, I just took those for them... because they look good on my transmission..."

HAIG: "I knew the problem. We're discussing this on an empty stomach, let's get some lunch. I've heard the prime rib, you always take the..."

STOCKMAN: "Wait a minute, Charlie. Have you ever cut the account for the White House catering service."

HAIG: "Have you cut at least order a tuna sandwich?"

STOCKMAN: "No way; do you know what that's happened to the price of fish in the last ten years?"

HAIG: "Well, do we suggest we eat?"

STOCKMAN: "The jellybean is all out..."

EDWARDS: "Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a statement for the Energy Department. Everyone seems to be looking at the Secretary for this."

BUSH: "I don't know what you're talking, what a great opportunity this is to further our goal of nuclear re-...

REAGAN: "Speaking for Treasury, I'd like to say that this new President might just give our economy the jolt it needs to lift it..."

WATANABE: "Hey, now the Department of the Interior won't have enough money for housing."

HAIG: "No more of that. I've cut the Department has no objections."

HAIG: "All right, we have to suggest we eat?"

WEINBERGER: "The Defense Department, has objections. Now I won't have to worry about whether to construct MIX on land or at sea. There won't be any American left to protect."

REAGAN: "Forget the doctor, where's my pasta?"

The most noteworthy exchanges that filled the President's mind as he sat down in the theater of the mid-season, for producing such a critical success, and for giving us a chance to see the Spacey New York, -- I think Dr. Beukas deserves our kudos.

There are so many problems in reviewing a college play: you have nothing to compare it to, but other college plays; you don't want to be the one to pronounce the success of a university club and therefore the university; and if you have friends in the cast, you don't enjoy the prospect of telling them that you need intensive healing with Lee Strasberg. All these potential dilemmas swirled in my head as I sat down in the theater of the mid-season, for producing such a critical success, and for giving us a chance to see the Spacey New York, -- I think Dr. Beukas deserves our kudos.

Although YCDS has recently produced a very successful show, many unfortunate students were unable to see it. For those who want a critical review, read "Dorm After Dark." For those who prefer could student opinion, we take you... BEHIND DORM DOORS...

How do you rate YCDS's production of "Flowers For Algernon?"

Mark Hirsch: "Junior -- VP: It's great seeing our guys perform so professionally and with maturity, The respect and admiration that YU can see be executed cannot be matched even by its public relations department, and we can keep on trying.

Phi Goldmeier: "Senior -- EMC: Excellent production. I think Dr. Beukas and his crew outdid themselves this time.

Michael Sohn: "Junior -- JSS: The play makes us realize that we are fortunate to be able to "swim like a fish if we want to "swim if we're brave enough to try." Of course it helps if you could sing and dance also!

Nathan Busman: "Senior -- VP: It was fantastic -- indeed the best play about a typical YU guy... only "Charlie" almost recovered.

Mauri Rosenfeld: "Senior -- VP: We allow our minds some intellectually stimulating recreation from the tedious day-to-day rutiness of the mid-term season, for producing such a clever production, and for giving us a chance to see the Spacey New York, -- I think Dr. Beukas deserves our kudos.

... (Continued from Page 2, Col. 4)
Azia and the Jew

(Continued from Page 4, Col. 4)

low and orange. The red lightning accented his complex, Portfolio down and observed for the first time the abysmal creature that he really was. Tears began to pour from his eyes, and they were absorbed by his ammunition belt. He rubbed his open eyes to bring them more into focus. Finally, he removed his hand from his face, wipers dried the tears. 3:10 a.m. He would have questioned someone on anything that had made his life so bitter. He had to get away from here. The day of divine retribution for sins not yet committed. He had festered for lack of food. Had his physical pain been so much less than his mental anguish, he would have fretted damning God and this horror that he had created. The thought saddened him. He had been so melancholy as for him to question God's commandments? He cupped his hands and shook his head more vigorously. Thinking of his problems only hastened his depression. Sitting in the small pew, it would have been easy to lay down over his life. It would always be simple to feel sorry for himself. But his physical pain couldn't be bothered with the other. How could he be experiencing. His thoughts turned to other things that caused him anguish. How could they be so bound that it led to murder? He was too weak to deal with the thoughts that surfaced in response to the hated name. He let his head slump ever further toward the ground.

"Run, Azia, the Germans are headed this way," screamed Sigmund. "They'll be here soon. Run for cover, boy. The rest will follow."

Sigmund picked up his bags and gestured Azia to move quicker. He was taken aback by the large group of people ahead of him. Azia turned around and huddled to his brother. Another boy was holding a suitcase and said, "Azia, get going!" Yossie stuck her head out the window and looked exasperated. "That bastard, Neusman will have your head if you're not in your shop soon. Don't take your usual class, and don't start running, now in earnest. He finally approached his shop and looked out over the street at the crowd of Germans. Nothing. He pushed up the stairs and in one sweeping move, he swept the chisper with his gun. He then halted behind him. Looking, he made sure his shop was secure. The next few minutes were spent in sweaty panic. Azia walked around his supply table, trying to calm his fright, though the walls were expectant another shot could come, arrived ultimately. A maddening knock on the door. Then a kicking.

"Yest, let it be known," the stomping wouldn't stop until he opened the door. Turning the knob, the door was pushed from the other side. He was then backed to the wall. Azia came in contact with Sam Neusman's glaring eyes. Neusman wasn't happy with what he had to gape upon. Azia frowned. On each side of the lawyer were two German officers dressed in SS garb. Without looking at Neusman's face, it seemed that they too acquainted with Neusman's low opinion of all Jews in front of them. Neusman handed him a paper and continued to read from a copy that he had. He had seen the forms before, preparing the clothing of Aryan. You will stick to the tailoring of your own community and arrange for your shoes. Why only. Do you understand?" Azia nodded. shocked that he was being ordered by a fellow Jew. He then turned, reading the summoning that Azia momentarily kept with him.

"How does it feel to be a real fascist, Neusman? Aren't we good enough for you that you need German friends? Tell me, do you wake up in the morning to admire your own ugliness? You feel .. ."

This last comment elicited a kick in the groin. Azia doubled over and began to run for his shop. Outside the officers flushed painting "Jude" in large red letters over the entire shop window. Azia tried to grab his hand while wide-eyed, and he rolled over unconscious . . .

A staging in his ear woke Azia once again. The raucous sound of other noises. They felt quite dignified. He attempted to stand, but his legs buckled beneath him and he fell. To the drift floor, Crawley to the center of the hill, he clawed at the main platform and grabbed for one of the poles. A false security began to envelope him. Deep within his brain's convolutions arose a vision of the biblical criminal gripping the rope to the temple altar to escape the retribution of his victim's family. His knuckles, drained of blood, took on the semblance of white marble. Courage of a sort came to him. Kneeling in the shawl he looked up as if to question the Master of the Universe. Suddenly, the doors were forced open, splintering at the hinges. Two Germans and a corporal were entering the building. A lieutenant, an SS officer, walked closely behind them. His overalls stained with a festoon of warts and honors. Across his headgear, stitched in eil- der his brave's battle cry. Dr. Schlesberg predicted a landed job a With major status, need and potential for Orthodox accounting. After graduating with a double major in Accounting and Econ. He was in the case of one accounting major, and Dr. Schlesberg was already 60 credits required by New York State for the accounting program. To this, Yeshiva and Stern was in the case of one accounting major, this was a four-year course of study without the opportunity to take a single elective. For this reason, Dr. Schlesberg hopes that there will be a relaxation in the future of outside requirements for accounting majors.

For his students, Dr. Schlesberg has a message to them on which they can turn to discuss careers or any problems they may be facing. His advice is to get中俄, and to be well-prepared and managed to get Ronald Cooper, a partner from Ernst & Whitney, the major accounting firm in the world, to speak at an accounting society meeting. Other speakers from the world of accounting of various types of firms, are anticipated. An awards dinner is being planned for these students at a date to be announced. In his high honor to the graduating accounting majors, and Dr. Schlesberg has already 450 awards for this year to be distributed to the students who excelled in scholastic achievement or service to their school.
autonomy within the Jewish State, any more than the Jews have the right to an autonomous state within America. I was also impressed by your characterization, Mr. Klein, of Anwar Sadat; friend of Hitler, as a brave and courageous man, Sadat is a man, who no more wants peace with Israel than Byrnes' Aunt Sam, at least, has the demeanor to be honest about his intentions; he wants to destroy the Jewish State and its inhabitants. Sadat, with exactly the same intentions, walks off with a Nobel Peace Prize. I have said enough, I think, to make my point clear. I hope that people, too, will eventually speak, really the truth before it is too late.

Shalom.

David Zalkin, YC '83

Easing Lightening

To the Editors:

Inasmuch as the issues raised at the meeting of the Senate on March 5 are of considerable im-

tance, I would like to record my views here:

1) Much was said against spending time on procedural matters. But as Orthodox Jews we realize the importance in Halakhah, of law and order. Why does the Talmud spend so much time on procedural matters when it discusses divorce, for example? Why not discuss a greater degree the factors leading to the divorce, the substance of the divorce itself, and the consequences of the divorce, and forget about the formalities? The answer for me is that formalities are import-
ant if we are to have an orderly society. Similarly, in the Anglo-American tradition, procedure is crucial, particularly in order to protect the minority viewpoint. It is true that concern with pro-
cedure may slow down a body's deliberation, but a price is well worth paying in order to main-
tain the traditions of fairness, justice, and stability of our insti-
tutions.

2) In the Western tradition of universities, matters of curricu-

lum have, without exception, been the prerogative of the faculty alone. The Supreme Court, in the recent decision inver-

volving our university, noted this fact as part of its argument that our faculty is managerial. When the Senate Gudiante gave concurrent jurisdiction in curricu-

lum matters to the Senate, it was, I believe, understood that this jurisdiction would extend to professional courses, as individual courses, minor changes in the curricu-

ulum, and the like, but that major changes would remain the faculty's prerogative. The present revision of the curriculum is of a kind which would involve changes in the curricu-

lum changes voted by the Senate in the past.

3) The Senate's Constitution states: "The Senate shall have jurisdiction over the academic af-
fairs of the College, as specifically set forth below: a) Academic standards, academic policies, curricu-

lum and requirements for de-
gree; b) The establishment of new majors and new courses. In the above matters, the Senate shall be required to consult with the Department and Division Heads involved before voting on the issue. In proceeding with the above matters, the Senate has been made to as to whether the require-

ment that the Senate consult with Department and Division Heads applies to (a) or only to (b); and the point has been made that in the minutes of the Fac-

ulty Assembly at which the Sen-

ate Constitution was discussed, Faculty by a vote of 54 to 30, Faculty in connection with (b) alone. I should like to note, how-

ever, that it is our understanding that the actual final wording of the provision in the Constitu-

tion. The Senate note indicate that the Faculty restricted this consultation to new majors and new courses. The Faculty by the Founding Fathers of the Senate Constitution were concerned with the Senate, the Department, and the Faculty before introducing new majors and new courses, they would be concerned with considering any such consultation when considering matters of great academic importance, namely academic standards, curricu-

lum, and requirements for de-
grees. In any case, it would seem to be to the interest of the Col-

lege to have such consultation in matters of this importance. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution. It has been argued, to the contrary, that a Senate vote to reverse a decision was in conflict with the Senate Constitution.
Hockey Maccs End Regular Season; Squad Enters Playoffs Undefeated

By ZAHAVA

It started sometime in February when the Maccs won their first game against a stunned Columbia team. It has continued for almost three months and now the fairytale is ready for its perfect ending.

The Blue, Blanc et Rouge of YU are trimmied and ready to claim what is rightfully theirs - the championship of the City of New York.

Semifinals

The semifinals against Staten Island College are over and only a best-of-three games remains. The power of the Maccs was never more evident than in the third and final game of the semifinal. YU had taken the first game decisively with a 7-1 victory. The second game played at Staten Island saw the Maccs lose for the first time this year under some suspicious circumstances.

Besides the fact that the gym and "pack" were not conducive to hockey, the referee had certain problems interpreting the rules after every whistle. Trailing 3-1 at the start of the third period, the Maccs showed their true character and fought back to tie the score at 3-3 at the end of regulation time. When Staten Island bowed down to the final score and an angry Maccs filed out of the gym quietly promising each other that revenge would be theirs.

Whipped Staten Island

And so after two games of anti-semitic remarks and intimidation tactics, Captain Ralph and Co. showed up today at 3:00, pumped up and ready to play. The result - an 11-1 crushing of an embarrassed Staten Island team before a packed YU gym. A key player remarked after the rough game, "Today was the first day that I walked out onto the court as opposed to the court coming to wear the YU colors. I did not only represent YU, I represented the entire Jewish Community.


Big plans are currently being worked out for the championship series, so be ready to come out and support the Maccabarians. A Yeshiva sports Championship may soon be a reality!

Seniors Demolish Sophomores Again in Critical Third Quarter Comeback

By JACKSON CAGE

On the strength of a 20-4 third quarter sprint, the Seniors broke open a close game and defeated the Sophomores 70-68. Shably Green paced all scorers with 40 points, 35 on fast break opportunities.

In the game entirely, rushing a 31-23 lead to 53-38. The contest was marked by physical play and scoring in a total of thirty fouls. The Seniors improved their record to 9-9 as the Sophs fell to 5-5.

Senior Timeout

Unexpectedly, finding themselves in a tight battle, the Seniors called timeout midway through the third quarter. Instead of the 7-2-1 zone they were using, the Sophs instituted a 1-2-2 trapping defense. The results were spectacular. The Sophs committed seven consecutive turnovers, largely due to Eli Doblin's (7 points and 5 blocked shots) hustle. Green (1 steal) broke ahead of the field for twelve layups. Jack Smith sank seven points, along with 10 assists and 4 steals. Sola Dave Lazarus and Lenny Pletokin provided aggressive play, combining for eleven points. Miki Sellenfrood played superbly. "Deals" responded in the Soph challenge with 8 points and a game high 18 rebounds.

The Sophs showed considerable improvement, battling the Seniors evenly for two and a half quarters with their feeders dominating inside, Soph guard Alan Kestenbaum (11 points) and Beny Schenberg scored from the outside. The lead sawed as Sophomore brawn bottlenecked Senior speed.